Critical Infrastructure Networks and Supernetworks: New Tools for Dynamics, Network Efficiency Measurement, and **Vulnerability Identification** Anna Nagurney John F. Smith Memorial Professor **Isenberg School of Management** University of Massachusetts - Amherst Imperial College London **Systems Engineering Seminar Series 2007** April 24, 2007 **The Virtual Center** for Supernetworks

### Funding for this research has been provided by:





# National Science Foundation AT&T Foundation John F. Smith Memorial Fund -University of Massachusetts at Amherst

## THE ROCKEFELLER FOUNDATION



RADCLIFFE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

#### **Outline of Presentation:**

- Background
- Brief History of the Science of Networks
- Interdisciplinary Impact of Networks
- The Transportation Network Equilibriumn Problem and Methodological Tools
- The Braess Paradox
- Some Interesting Applications of Variational Inequalities
- The Time-Dependent (Demand-Varying) Braess Paradox and Evolutionary Variational Inequalities
- A New Network Performance/Efficiency Measure with Applications to Critical Infrastructure Networks
- Double-Layered Dynamics

## We are in a New Era of Decision-Making Characterized by:

- complex interactions among decision-makers in organizations;
- alternative and at times conflicting criteria used in decision-making;
- constraints on resources: natural, human, financial, time, etc.;
- global reach of many decisions;
- high impact of many decisions;
- increasing risk and uncertainty, and
- the *importance of dynamics* and realizing a fast and sound response to evolving events.

Network problems are their own class of problems and they come in various forms and formulations, i.e., as optimization (linear or nonlinear) problems or as equilibrium problems and even dynamic network problems.

Critical infrastructure network problems will be the focus of this talk.



Underground Network

Transportation, Communication, and Energy Networks



Rail Network

Constellation Network

Iridium Satellite Satellite and Undersea Cable Networks

British Electricity Grid







## Components of Common Physical Networks

Network System	Nodes	Links	Flows
Transportation	Intersections, Homes, Workplaces, Airports, Railyards	Roads, Airline Routes, Railroad Track	Automobiles, Trains, and Planes,
Manufacturing and logistics	Workstations, Distribution Points	Processing, Shipment	Components, Finished Goods
Communication	Computers, Satellites, Telephone Exchanges	Fiber Optic Cables Radio Links	Voice, Data, Video
Energy	Pumping Stations,	Pipelines, Transmission	Water, Gas, Oil,

Lines

Electricity

**Plants** 

## US Railroad Freight Flows



Source: U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, Carload Waybill Statistics, 1995

## Internet Traffic Flows Over One 2 Hour Period



from Stephen Eick, Visual Insights

## Electricity is Modernity



# The scientific study of networks involves:

 how to model such applications as mathematical entities,

 how to study the models qualitatively,

 how to design algorithms to solve the resulting models.

# The Basic Components of NetworksNodesLinksFlows



#### **Classic Examples of Network Problems**

The Shortest Path Problem The Maximum Flow Problem The Minimum Cost Flow Problem.

#### The Shortest Path Problem



What is the shortest path from 1 to 6?

#### Applications of the Shortest Path Problem

Arise in transportation and telecommunications.

#### Other applications include:

- simple building evacuation models
- DNA sequence alignment
- assembly line balancing
- compact book storage in libraries.

#### The Maximum Flow Problem



Each link has a maximum capacity.

How does one Maximize the flow from s to t, subject to the link capacities?

## Applications of the Maximum Flow Problem

machine scheduling

network reliability testing

building evacuation

#### The Minimum Cost Flow Problem



Each link has a linear cost and a maximum capacity.

How does one Minimize Cost for a given flow from 1 to 4?

#### The Optimization Formulation

Flow out of node i - Flow into node i = b(i)

Minimize  $\Sigma_{i,j} c_{ij} x_{ij}$ 

s.t.  $\Sigma_j x_{ij} - \Sigma_j x_{ji} = b(i)$  for each node i  $0 \le x_{ij} \le u_{ij}$  for all i,j $\Sigma_i b(i) = 0$  Applications of the Minimum Cost Flow Problem

- warehousing and distribution
- vehicle fleet planning
- cash management
- automatic chromosome classification
- satellite scheduling

The study of the efficient operation on transportation networks dates to *ancient Rome* with a classical example being the publicly provided Roman road network and the *time of day chariot policy,* whereby chariots were banned from the ancient city of Rome at particular times of day.



Brief History of the Science of Networks

1736 - Euler - the earliest paper on graph theory - Konigsberg bridges problem.

1758 - Quesnay in his *Tableau Economique* introduced a graph to depict the circular flow of financial funds in an economy.



1781 - Monge, who had worked under Napoleon Bonaparte, publishes what is probably the first paper on transportation in minimizing cost.

1838 - Cournot states that a competitive price is determined by the intersection of supply and demand curves in the context of spatially separate markets in which transportation costs are included.

1841 - Kohl considered a two node, two route transportation network problem.

1845 - Kirchhoff wrote Laws of Closed Electric Circuits.

1920 - Pigou studied a transportation network system of two routes and noted that the decision-making behavior of the users on the network would result in different flow patterns.

1936 - Konig published the first book on graph theory.

1939, 1941, 1947 - Kantorovich, Hitchcock, and Koopmans considered the network flow problem associated with the classical minimum cost transportation problem and provided insights into the special network structure of these problems, which yielded special-purpose algorithms.

1948, 1951 - Dantzig published the simplex method for linear programming and adapted it for the classical transportation problem.

1951 - Enke showed that spatial price equilibrium problems can be solved using electronic circuits

1952 - Copeland in his book asked, *Does money flow like water or electricity?* 

1952 - Samuelson gave a rigorous mathematical formulation of spatial price equilibrium and emphasized the network structure.

1956 - Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten in their book, *Studies in the Economics of Transportation*, provided a rigorous treatment of congested urban transportation systems under different behavioral mechanisms due to Wardrop (1952).

1962 - Ford and Fulkerson publish *Flows in Networks*.

1969 - Dafermos and Sparrow coined the terms *user-optimization* and system-optimization and develop algorithms for the computation of solutions that exploit the network structure of transportation problems.



## Interdisciplinary Impact of Networks

Nathematic

#### **Economics**

Interregional Trade General Equilibrium Industrial Organization Portfolio Optimization

Flow of Funds Accounting

**Sociology** Social Networks Organizational Theory

Computer Science Routing Algorithms Energy Manufacturing Telecommunications Transportation

Engineering

**Biology** DNA Sequencing Targeted Cancer Therapy

Networks

### Characteristics of Networks Today

- *large-scale nature* and complexity of network topology;
- congestion;
- alternative behavior of users of the network, which may lead to *paradoxical phenomena*;
- the *interactions among networks* themselves such as in transportation versus telecommunications;
- policies surrounding networks today may have a major impact not only economically but also socially, politically, and security-wise.

There are *two fundamental principles of travel behavior*, due to Wardrop (1952), which we refer to as useroptimization (or network equilibrium) or systemoptimization. These terms were coined by Dafermos and Sparrow (1969); see also Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten (1956).

In a user-optimized (network equilibrium) problem, each user of a network system seeks to determine his/her cost-minimizing route of travel between an origin/destination pair, until an equilibrium is reached, in which no user can decrease his/her cost of travel by unilateral action.

In a *system-optimized network problem*, users are allocated among the routes so as to minimize the total cost in the system. Both classes of problems, under certain imposed assumptions, possess optimization formulations. The Transportation Social -Knowledge Network

On the Beach in Mallacoota, Austrailia





Professors Beckmann and Dafermos at Anna Nagurney's Post-Ph.D. Defense Party in Barus Holley



INFORMS Honoring the 50th Anniversary of the Publication of **Studies in the Economics of Transportation** 



Professor Beckmann with Professor Michael Florian of Montreal

Professors Beckman and McGuire



#### **Transportation Network Equilibrium Problem**

Consider a general network G = [N, L], where N denotes the set of nodes, and L the set of directed links. Let a denote a link of the network connecting a pair of nodes, and let p denote a path consisting of a sequence of links connecting an O/D pair.  $P_w$  denotes the set of paths, assumed to be acyclic, connecting the O/D pair of nodes w and P the set of all paths.

Let  $x_p$  represent the flow on path p and  $f_a$  the flow on link a. The following conservation of flow equation must hold:

$$f_a = \sum_{p \in P} x_p \delta_{ap},$$

where  $\delta_{ap} = 1$ , if link *a* is contained in path *p*, and 0, otherwise. This expression states that the load on a link *a* is equal to the sum of all the path flows on paths *p* that contain (traverse) link *a*.

Moreover, if we let  $d_w$  denote the demand associated with O/D pair w, then we must have that

$$d_w = \sum_{p \in P_w} x_p,$$

where  $x_p \ge 0$ ,  $\forall p$ , that is, the sum of all the path flows between an origin/destination pair w must be equal to the given demand  $d_w$ .

Let  $c_a$  denote the user cost associated with traversing link a, which is assumed to be continuous, and  $C_p$  the user cost associated with traversing the path p. Then

$$C_p = \sum_{a \in L} c_a \delta_{ap}.$$

In other words, the cost of a path is equal to the sum of the costs on the links comprising the path.

#### Transportation Network Equilibrium

The network equilibrium conditions are then given by: For each path  $p \in P_w$  and every O/D pair w:

$$C_p \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} = \lambda_w, & ext{if} & x_p^* > 0 \ \geq \lambda_w, & ext{if} & x_p^* = 0 \end{array} 
ight.$$

where  $\lambda_w$  is an indicator, whose value is not known a priori. These equilibrium conditions state that the user costs on all used paths connecting a given O/D pair will be minimal and equalized.

As shown by Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten (1956) and Dafermos and Sparrow (1969), if the user link cost functions satisfy the symmetry property that  $\left[\frac{\partial c_b}{\partial c_a} = \frac{\partial c_a}{\partial c_b}\right]$ for all links a, b in the network then the solution to the above network equilibrium problem can be reformulated as the solution to an associated optimization problem. For example, if we have that  $c_a = c_a(f_a)$ ,  $\forall a \in L$ , then the solution can be obtained by solving:

Minimize 
$$\sum_{a \in L} \int_0^{f_a} c_a(y) dy$$

subject to:

$$d_w = \sum_{p \in P_w} x_p, \quad \forall w \in W,$$
$$f_a = \sum_{p \in P} x_p, \quad \forall a \in L,$$
$$x_p \ge \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall p \in P.$$

## The Braess (1968) Paradox

Assume a network with a single O/D pair (1,4). There are 2 paths available to travelers: **p**<sub>1</sub>**=(a,c)** and **p**<sub>2</sub>**=(b,d)**. For a travel demand of 6, the equilibrium path flows are x<sub>p</sub>\*  $= x_{p_2}^* = 3$  and The equilibrium path travel cost is



 $C_{p_1} = C_{p_2} = 83.$ 

 $c_a(f_a) = 10 f_a c_b(f_b) = f_b + 50$  $c_c(f_c) = f_c + 50 c_d(f_d) = 10 f_d$
# Adding a Link Increases Travel Cost for All!

- Adding a new link creates a new path **p**<sub>3</sub>=(a,e,d).
- The original flow distribution pattern is no longer an equilibrium pattern, since at this level of flow the cost on path  $p_3$ ,  $C_{p_3}=70$ .

The new equilibrium flow pattern network is

$$x_{p_1}^* = x_{p_2}^* = x_{p_3}^* = 2.$$
  
The equilibrium path travel costs

$$C_{p_1} = C_{p_2} = C_{p_3} = 92$$



 $c_{e}(f_{e}) = f_{e} + 10$ 

The 1968 Braess article has been translated from German to English and appears as

### On a Paradox of Traffic Planning

### by Braess, Nagurney, Wakolbinger

### in the November 2005 issue of *Transportation Science*.

#### Über ein Paradoxon aus der Verkehrsplanung

Von D. BRAESS, Münster 1)

Eingegangen am 28, März 1968

Zutaussneufatzung: Für die Straßenverkehrsplanung möchte man den Verkehrsfluß auf den einehan Staßen des Netes abschäten, wenn die Zahl der Fahrange bekennt ist, die zwischen den einehan Punkten des Staßenstess verkehnen. Welche Wege um güreigeten sind, brüge man nicht unt von der Beschaffenheit der Straße ab, sondern auch von der Verkehrstlichte. Iste segeben sich nicht immer optimale Fahrzeiten, wenn jeder Fahrer nur für sich den glinetigsten Wag herates sucht. In einigen Fählen kann sich durch Erweiterung des Netzes der Verkehrsfluß sogar so um-bagern, daß größter Fahrzeiten erförderlich werden.

Commany: I or excit point of , nuclearized, let be given the number of exits starting from it and the domination of the sam. Ugget hence onlinean new values to estimate the domination of the traffic flow. Workstar a stress is performable to arrefere one depends so to obly upon the quarky of the scale has also more had charaly of the low. If every drawed tasks in any also hadd, below more stress of the scale has a performance of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale of the scale scale of the scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale of the scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale scale of the scale scale

#### 1. Einleitung

Für die Verkehrsplanung und Verkehrsteuerung interessiert, wie sich der Fahrzeugstrom auf die einzelnen Straßen des Verkehrsnetzes verteilt. Bekannt sei dabei die Anzahl der Fahrzeuge für alle Ausgangs- und Zielpunkte. Bei der Berechnung wird davon ausgegangen, daß von den möglichen Wegen jeweils der günstigste gewählt wird. Wie günstig ein Weg ist, richtet sich nach dem Aufwand, der zum Durchfahren nötig ist. Die Grundlage für die Bewertung des Aufwandes bildet die Fabrzeit

Für die mathematische Behandlung wird das Straßennetz durch einen gerichte ten Graphen beschrieben. Zur Charakterisierung der Bögen gehört die Angabe des Zeitaufwandes. Die Bestimmung der günstigen Stromverteilungen kann als gelöst betrachtet werden, wenn die Bewertung konstant ist, d. h., wenn die Fahrzeiten unabhängig von der Größe des Verkehrsflusses sind. Sie ist dann äquivalent mit der bekannten Aufgabe, den kürzesten Abstand zweier Punkte eines Graphen und den zugehörigen kritischen Pfad zu bestimmen [1], [5], [7].

Will man das Modell aber realistischer gestalten, ist zu berücksichtigen, daß die benötigte Zeit stark von der Stärke des Verkehrs abhängt. Wie die folgenden Untersuchungen zeigen, ergeben sich dann gegenüber dem Modell mit konstanter (belastungsunabhängiger) Bewentung z. T. völlig neue Aspekte. Dabei erweist sich schon eine Präzisierung der Problemstellung als notwendig; denn es ist zwischen dem Strom zu unterscheiden, der für alle am günstigsten ist,, und dem, der sich einstellt, wenn jeder Fahrer nur seinen eigenen Weg optimalisiert.

<sup>3</sup>) Priv-Doz. Dr. Barmen BRANS<sub>8</sub> Institut für numerische und instrumentelle Mathematik 44 Münster, Hüfferstr. J.a.



#### TRANSPORTATION SCIENCE 39, No. 4, Neuenher 2005, pp. 446–450 0041-1655 | more 1526-5447 (05) 2904 (0446)

informs. con 10.1287/tmc.1050.0127 @2005\_INROPMS

On a Paradox of Traffic Planning

#### Diatrich Braass

Anna Nagurney, Tina Wakolbinger of Privator and Operators Management, hierburg School of Management, U Analysis, Managergants OKOI (manarray/stability arranged), webolictoreling

ch point of a read network, let there be given the number of cars starting from it, and the de Let each point or a rocal network, by more do given in minimane the derivational regiment in the minima each man for the cars. Units these conditions one widths to cardinate the derivational regiment and the Withstar one sense is predential to another depends not certly on the quality of the rocal, but also on the density of the flow. I wirry driver takes the path that locks music investible to firm, the resultant running times need not be the intrima unitarized, is a distribution of the rocal rocal network may cause a redistribution.

Key avords: statilic network planning; paradox; equilibrium; tritical flows; optimal flows; existence theorem History: Received: April 2005; revision received: June 2005; accepted: July 2005.

Translated from the original German: Braoss, Diorich. 1988. Über ein Paradoxon aus der Verkohrsplanung. Internehmensjöschung 12 258–268.

#### Introduction e distribution of traffic flow on the roads of a traf-

The distribution of traffic flow on the roads of a traf-fic network is of interest to traffic plurners and traffic controllers. We assume that the number of vehicles per unit time is known for all origin-destination pairs. The expected distribution of vehicles is based on the assumption that the most favorable routes are the son among all possible ones. How favorable a routo is depends on its travel cost. The basis for the evaluation

depends on use more down like heats for the evaluations of its its net of the more started by the dotted graph for the mathematical transmost A (travely insection of the associated with another. This computation of the second start of the started by the started by the original started by a started by the started by the original started by the started started by the started by the started by the started started by the started by the started by the started started by the started by the started by the started started by the started by the started by the started started by the started by the started by the started started by the started by the started by the started started by the started by the started by the started started by the s

In more realistic models, however, one has to take into account that the travel time on the links will into account that the traver time on the intes well strongly depend on the trails flow. Our investiga-tions will show that we will encounter new effects compared to the model with flow-independent costs. Specifically, a more procise formulation of the prob-lem will be required. We have to distinguish between flow that well be optimal for all vehicles and flow

that is achieved if each user attempts to optimize his

even route. Referring to a simple model network with only four kotering to a single model network with only four nedse, we will discuss typical features that centra-der facts that seem to be plausible. Central centrol of traffic carb advantageous even for these drivers who think that they will discover more profitable routs for thermshers. Microscreen, there exists the pos-sibility of the paradex that an extension of the road network by an addiment most can cause a redesimble. network by an additional road can cause a redistribu-tion of the flow in such a way that increased travel time is the result.

Graph and Road Network Directed graphs are used for modeling read maps, and the links, the corresterms between the nodes, have an orientation (flerge 1958, von fallerhausen 1966). Two links that differ only by their direction are depicted in the figures by one line writeout an arrowshad.

arrownead. In general, the nodes are associated with street intersections. Whenever a more detailed description is necessary, an intersection may be divided into (four) necessary, an intersection may be divided into (locu) nodes with each one corresponding is an adjacent road; see Figure 2 (Pollack and Wieberson 1960). We will use the following protation for the nodes, links, and flows. The indices belong to finite sets Because we use such nodes only in connection with one variable, we do not write the range of the indices.

If no such symmetry assumption holds for the user link costs functions, then the equilibrium conditions can **no longer** be reformulated as an associated optimization problem and the equilibrium conditions are formulated and solved as a *variational inequality problem!* 

Smith (1979), Dafermos (1980)

VI Formulation of Transportation Network Equilibrium (Dafermos (1980), Smith (1979))

A traffic path flow pattern satisfies the above equilibrium conditions if and only if it satisfies the variational inequility problem: determine  $x^* \in K$ , such that

$$\sum_p C_p(x^*) imes (x_p - x_p^*) \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Finite-dimensional variational inequality theory has been applied to-date to the wide range of equilibrium problems noted above.

In particular, the finite-dimensional variational inequality problem is to determine  $x^* \in K \subset R^n$  such that

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in K,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denoted the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and K is closed and convex.

# A Geometric Interpretation of a Variational Inequality



The variational inequality problem, contains, as special cases, such classical problems as:

- systems of equations
- optimization problems
- complementarity problems
  and is also closely related to fixed point

problems.

Hence, it is a unifying mathematical formulation for a variety of mathematical programming problems.

### In particular, variational inequalities have been used to formulate such equilibrium problems as:

- transportation network equilibrium problems
- spatial price equilibrium problems
- oligopolistic market equilibrium problems operating under Nash equilibrium
- migration equilibrium problems
- a variety of financial equilibrium problems.

Moreover, all such problems have network structure, which can be further exploited for computational purposes.

In addition, with the advent of the Internet, there are numerous new models and applications, in which variational inequalities have become a very powerful tool for formulation, qualitative analysis, and computations. Some of these application, we will be discussing in this presentation.

*Indeed, the concept of network equilibrium is as relevant to the Internet as it is to transportation!* 

# Some Interesting Applications of Supernetworks

- Telecommuting/Commuting Decision-Making
- Teleshopping/Shopping Decision-Making
- Supply Chain Networks with Electronic Commerce
- Financial Networks with Electronic Transactions
- Reverse Supply Chains with E-Cycling
- Knowledge Networks
- Energy Networks/Power Grids
- Social Networks integrated with Economic Networks

## The Equivalence of Supply Chain Networks and Transportation Networks



#### Nagurney, Transportation Research E (2006)

### Supply Chain - Transportation Supernetwork Representation



#### Nagurney, Ke, Cruz, Hancock, Southworth, Environment and Planning B (2002)

The fifth chapter of Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten's book, **Studies in the Economics of Transportation** (1956) describes some *unsolved problems* including a single commodity network equilibrium problem that the authors imply could be generalized to capture electric power networks.

Specifically, they ask whether electric power generation and distribution networks can be reformulated as transportation network equilibrium problems.

# The Electric Power Supply Chain Network

Power Generators



Demand Markets

Nagurney and Matsypura, Proceedings of the CCCT (2004)

# The Transportation Network Equilibrium Reformulation of Electric Power Supply Chain Networks



### Electric Power Supply Chain Network

Transportation Network

Nagurney et al, to appear in Transportation Research E

In 1952, Copeland wondered whether money flows like water or electricity. The Transportation Network Equilibrium Reformulation of the Financial Network Equilibrium Model with Intermediation



We have shown that *money* as well as *electricity* flow like *transportation* and have answered questions posed fifty years ago by Copeland and Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten!

We are using evolutionary variational inequalities to model dynamic networks with:

- dynamic (time-dependent) supplies and demands
- dynamic (time-dependent) capacities
- *structural changes* in the networks themselves.

Such issues are important for robustness, resiliency, and reliability of networks (including supply chains and the Internet).

## **Evolutionary Variational Inequalities**

- Evolutionary variational inequalities, which are infinite dimensional, were originally introduced by Lions and Stampacchia (1967) and by Brezis (1967) in order to study problems arising principally from mechanics. They provided a theory for the existence and uniqueness of the solution of such problems.
- Steinbach (1998) studied an obstacle problem with a memory term as a variational inequality problem and established existence and uniqueness results under suitable assumptions on the time-dependent conductivity.
- Daniele, Maugeri, and Oettli (1998, 1999), motivated by dynamic traffic network problems, introduced evolutionary (time-dependent) variational inequalities to this application domain and to several others. See also Ran and Boyce(1996).

# Bellagio Research Team Residency March 2004

commation technology has transformed the ways in which individuals work, travel, and conduct their daily activities, with profound implications for existing and future activities.

The decision-making process itself has been altered due to the addition of alternatives and options which were not possible or even feasible.

The boundaries for decision-making have been redrawn as individuals can now work from home or purchase

#### Evolutionary Variational Inequalities, Transportation, and the Internet

We model the Internet as a network  $\mathcal{G} = [N, L]$ , consisting of the set of nodes N and the set of directed links L. The set of origin/destination (O/D) pairs of nodes is denoted by W and consists of  $n_W$  elements. We denote the set of routes (with a route consisting of links) joining the origin/destination (O/D) pair w by  $P_w$ . We assume that the routes are acyclic. We let P with  $n_P$  elements denote the set of all routes connecting all the O/D pairs in the Internet. Links are denoted by a, b, etc; routes by r, q, etc., and O/D pairs by  $w_1, w_2$ , etc. We assume that the Internet is traversed by "jobs" or "classes" of traffic and that there are K "jobs" with a typical job denoted by k.

Let  $d_w^k(t)$  denote the demand, that is, the traffic generated, between O/D pair w at time t by job class k. The flow on route r at time t of class k, which is assumed to be nonnegative, is denoted by  $x_r^k(t)$  and the flow on link a of class k at time t by  $f_a^k(t)$ . Since the demands over time are assumed known, the following conservation of flow equations must be satisfied at each t:

$$d_w^k(t) = \sum_{r \in P_w} x_r^k(t), \quad \forall w \in W, \forall k,$$

that is, the demand associated with an O/D pair and class must be equal to the sum of the flows of that class on the routes that connect that O/D pair. We assume that the traffic associated with each O/D pair is divisible and can be routed among multiple routes/paths. Also, we must have that

$$0 \le x_r^k(t) \le \mu_r^k(t), \quad \forall r \in P, \forall k,$$

where  $\mu_r^k(t)$  denotes the capacity on route r of class k at time t.

We group the demands at time t of classes for all the O/D pairs into the  $Kn_W$ -dimensional vector d(t). Similarly, we group all the class route flows at time t into the  $Kn_P$ -dimensional vector x(t). The capacities on the routes at time t are grouped into the  $Kn_P$ -dimensional vector  $\mu(t)$ .

The link flows are related to the route flows, in turn, through the following conservation of flow equations:

$$f_a^k(t) = \sum_{r \in P} x_r^k(t) \delta_{ar}, \quad \forall a \in L, \forall k,$$

where  $\delta_{ar} = 1$  if link *a* is contained in route *r*, and  $\delta_{ar} = 0$ , otherwise. Hence, the flow of a class on a link is equal to the sum of the flows of the class on routes that contain that link. All the link flows at time *t* are grouped into the vector f(t), which is of dimension  $Kn_L$ .

The cost on route r at time t of class k is denoted by  $C_r^k(t)$  and the cost on a link a of class k at time t by  $c_a^k(t)$ .

We allow the cost on a link to depend upon the entire vector of link flows at time t, so that

$$c_a^k(t) = c_a^k(f(t)), \quad \forall a \in L, \forall k.$$

We may write the link costs as a function of route flows, that is,

$$c_a^k(x(t)) \equiv c_a^k(f(t)), \quad \forall a \in L, \forall k.$$

The costs on routes are related to costs on links through the following equations:

$$C_r^k(x(t)) = \sum_{a \in L} c_a^k(x(t)) \delta_{ar}, \quad \forall r \in P, \forall k.$$

We group the route costs at time t into the vector C(t), which is of dimension  $Kn_P$ . We now define the feasible set  $\mathcal{K}$ . We consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L} = L^2([0,T], R^{Kn_P})$  (where [0,T] denotes the time interval under consideration) given by

$$\mathcal{K} = \Big\{ x \in L^2([0,T], R^{Kn_p}) : 0 \le x(t) \le \mu(t) \text{ a.e. in } [0,T]; \\ \sum_{p \in P_w} x_p^k(t) = d_w^k(t), \forall w, \forall k \text{ a.e. in } [0,T] \Big\}.$$

We assume that the capacities  $\mu_r^k(t)$ , for all r and k, are in  $\mathcal{L}$ , and that the demands,  $d_w^k \ge 0$ , for all w and k, are also in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Further, we assume that

$$0 \le d(t) \le \Phi \mu(t)$$
, a.e. on  $[0, T]$ ,

where  $\Phi$  is the  $Kn_W \times Kn_P$ -dimensional O/D pair-route incidence matrix, with element (kw, kr) equal to 1 if route r is contained in  $P_w$ , and 0, otherwise. The feasible set  $\mathcal{K}$  is nonempty. It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{K}$  is also convex, closed, and bounded.

The dual space of  $\mathcal{L}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}^*$ . On  $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}^*$  we define the canonical bilinear form by

$$\langle\langle G, x \rangle\rangle := \int_0^T \langle G(t), x(t) \rangle dt, \quad G \in \mathcal{L}^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Furthermore, the cost mapping  $C : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{L}^*$ , assigns to each flow trajectory  $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{K}$  the cost trajectory  $C(x(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ .

The conditions below are a generalization of the Wardrop's (1952) first principle of traffic behavior.

### Definition: Dynamic Multiclass Network Equilibrium

A multiclass route flow pattern  $x^* \in \mathcal{K}$  is said to be a dynamic network equilibrium (according to the generalization of Wardrop's first principle) if, for every O/D pair  $w \in W$ , every route  $r \in P_w$ , every class k; k = 1, ..., K, and a.e. on [0,T]:

$$C_r^k(x^*(t)) - \lambda_w^{k*}(t) \begin{cases} \leq 0, & \text{if } x_r^{k*}(t) = \mu_r^k(t), \\ = 0, & \text{if } 0 < x_r^{k*}(t) < \mu_r^k(t), \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } x_r^{k*}(t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The standard form of the EVI that we work with is:

determine  $x^* \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\langle \langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{K}.$ 

### Theorem (Nagurney, Parkes, and Daniele (2006))

 $x^* \in \mathcal{K}$  is an equilibrium flow according to the Definition if and only if it satisfies the evolutionary variational inequality:

$$\int_0^T \langle C(x^*(t)), x(t) - x^*(t) \rangle dt \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{K}.$$

2005-2006 Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study Fellowship Year at Harvard Collaboration with Professor David Parkes and Professor Patrizia Daniele (Visiting from Italy)



# Recall the Braess Network where we add the link e.



The Solution of an Evolutionary (Time-Dependent) Variational Inequality for the Braess Network with Added Link (Path)



In Demand Regime I, only the new path is used.

In Demand Regime II, the Addition of a New Link (Path) Makes Everyone Worse Off!

In Demand Regime III, only the original paths are used.



Network 1 is the Original Braess Network - Network 2 has the added link.

The new link is NEVER used after a certain demand is reached even if the demand approaches infinity.

Hence, in general, except for a limited range of demand, building the new link is a complete waste! Recent disasters have demonstrated the importance as well as the vulnerability of network systems.

### For example:

- Hurricane Katrina, August 23, 2005
- The biggest blackout in North America, August 14, 2003
- 9/11 Terrorist Attacks, September 11, 2001

## The Nagurney and Qiang Network Efficiency Measure

Nagurney and Qiang (2007) proposed a network efficiency measure which captures demand, flow, and cost information under network equilibrium. It is defined as follows:

#### Definition

The network performance/efficiency measure,  $\mathcal{E}(G,d)$ , according to Nagurney and Qiang (2006), for a given network topology G and fixed demand vector d, is defined as:

$$\mathcal{E}(G,d) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \frac{d_w}{\lambda_w}}{n_W},$$

where recall that  $n_W$  is the number of O/D pairs in the network and  $\lambda_w$  is the equilibrium disutility for O/D pair w.

#### To appear in the Journal of Global Optimization

## Importance of a Network Component

#### Definition Importance of a Network Component

The importance, I(g) of a network component  $g \in G$ , is measured by the relative network efficiency drop after g is removed from the network:

$$I(g) = \frac{\triangle \mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(G, d) - \mathcal{E}(G - g, d)}{\mathcal{E}(G, d)},$$

where G - g is the resulting network after component g is removed from network G.

## The Approach to Study the Importance of Network Components

- The elimination of a link is treated in the Nagurney and Qiang network efficiency measure by removing that link while the removal of a node is managed by removing the links entering and exiting that node.
- In the case that the removal results in no path connecting an O/D pair, we simply assign the demand for that O/D pair to an abstract path with a cost of infinity. Hence, our measure is well-defined even in the case of disconnected networks.
- The measure generalizes the Latora and Marchiori (2001, 2003, 2004) network measure for complex networks.

## Example 1

Assume a network with two O/D pairs:  $w_1$ =(1,2) and  $w_2$ =(1,3) with demands:  $d_{w_1}$ =100 and  $d_{w_2}$ =20.

The paths are: for  $w_1$ ,  $p_1$ =a; for  $w_2$ ,  $p_2$ =b.

The equilibrium path flows are:  $x_{p_1}^* = 100, x_{p_2}^* = 20.$ 

The equilibrium path travel costs are:  $C_{p_1} = C_{p_2} = 20$ .



 $c_a(f_a) = 0.01 f_a + 19$  $c_b(f_b) = 0.05 f_b + 19$
# Importance and Ranking of Links and Nodes

Link	Importance Value from Our Measure	Importance Ranking from Our Measure
а	0.8333	1
b	0.1667	2

Node	Importance Value from Our Measure	Importance Ranking from Our Measure
1	1	1
2	0.8333	2
3	0.1667	3

### Example 2

#### The network is given by:



 $w_1 = (1,20)$   $w_2 = (1,19)$  $d_{w_1} = 100$   $d_{w_2} = 100$ 

# Link Cost Functions

Link $a$	Link Cost Function $c_a(f_a)$	Link $a$	Link Cost Function $c_a(f_a)$
1	$.00005f_1^4 + 5f_1 + 500$	15	$.00003f_{15}^4 + 9f_{15} + 200$
2	$.00003f_2^4 + 4f_2 + 200$	16	$8f_{16} + 300$
3	$.00005f_3^4 + 3f_3 + 350$	17	$.00003f_{17}^4 + 7f_{17} + 450$
4	$.00003f_4^4 + 6f_4 + 400$	18	$5f_{18} + 300$
5	$.00006f_5^4 + 6f_5 + 600$	19	$8f_{19} + 600$
6	$7f_6 + 500$	20	$.00003f_{20}^4 + 6f_{20} + 300$
7	$.00008f_7^4 + 8f_7 + 400$	21	$.00004f_{21}^4 + 4f_{21} + 400$
8	$.00004f_8^4 + 5f_8 + 650$	22	$.00002f_{22}^4 + 6f_{22} + 500$
9	$.00001f_9^4 + 6f_9 + 700$	23	$.00003f_{23}^4 + 9f_{23} + 350$
10	$4f_{10} + 800$	24	$.00002f_{24}^4 + 8f_{24} + 400$
11	$.00007f_{11}^4 + 7f_{11} + 650$	25	$.00003f_{25}^4 + 9f_{25} + 450$
12	$8f_{12} + 700$	26	$.00006f_{26}^4 + 7f_{26} + 300$
13	$.00001f_{13}^4 + 7f_{13} + 600$	27	$.00003f_{27}^4 + 8f_{27} + 500$
14	$8f_{14} + 500$	28	$.00003f_{28}^4 + 7f_{28} + 650$

# Importance and Ranking of Links

$\operatorname{Link} a$	Importance Value	Importance Ranking
1	0.9086	3
2	0.8984	4
3	0.8791	6
4	0.8672	7
5	0.8430	9
6	0.8226	11
7	0.7750	12
8	0.5483	15
9	0.0362	17
10	0.6641	14
11	0.0000	22
12	0.0006	20
13	0.0000	22
14	0.0000	22

$\operatorname{Link} a$	Importance Value	Importance Ranking
15	0.0000	22
16	0.0001	21
17	0.0000	22
18	0.0175	18
19	0.0362	17
20	0.6641	14
21	0.7537	13
22	0.8333	10
23	0.8598	8
24	0.8939	5
25	0.4162	16
26	0.9203	2
27	0.9213	1
28	0.0155	19

#### **Example 2 Link Importance Rankings**



# Example 3: An Electric Power Supply Chain Network

Nagurney and Liu (2006) and Nagurney, Liu, Cojocaru and Daniele (2005) have shown that an electric power supply chain network can be transformed into an equivalent transportation network problem.

# Supernetwork Transformation



Corresponding Supernetwork

Figure 3: Electric Power Supply Chain Network and the Corresponding Supernetwork

Example 1 from Nagurney, Liu, Cojocaru and Daniele, TRE, in press

#### Five Demand Ranges

- Demand Range I: d<sub>w</sub> ∈ [0, 1]
- Demand Range II:  $d_w \in (1, 4/3]$
- Demand Range III:  $d_w \in (4/3, 7/3]$
- Demand Range IV: d<sub>w</sub>∈ (7/3, 11/3]
- Demand Range V:  $d_w \in (11/3, \infty)$

# Importance Ranking of Links in the Electric Power Supply Chain Network



#### Importance Ranking of Nodes in the Electric Power Supply Chain Network



Ranking

# Discussion

Links *a* and *d* are the most important links and power supplier 1 is ranked the second due to the fact that path *p*<sub>1</sub>, which consists of links *a* and *d* and power supplier 1 carry the largest amount of flow.

# The Advantages of the Nagurney and Qiang Network Efficiency Measure

- The measure captures demands, flows, costs, and behavior of users, in addition to network topology;
- The resulting importance definition of network components is applicable and well-defined even in the case of disconnected networks;
- It can be used to identify the importance (and ranking) of either nodes, or links, or both; and
- It can be applied to assess the efficiency/performance of a wide range of network systems.
- It is applicable also to elastic demand networks; see Qiang and Nagurney, *Optimization Letters*, in press.

#### **Double-Layered Dynamics**

The unification of EVIs and PDSs allows the modeling of dynamic networks over *different time scales*.

Papers:

Projected Dynamical Systems and Evolutionary Variational Inequalities via Hilbert Spaces with Applications (Cojocaru, Daniele, and Nagurney), *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 127, no. 3, pp. 1-15, December 2005.

Double-Layered Dynamics: A Unified Theory of Projected Dynamical Systems and Evolutionary Variational Inequalities (Cojocaru, Daniele, and Nagurney), *European Journal of Operational Research,* vol. 175, pp. 494-507, 2006.

#### A Pictorial of the Double-Layered Dynamics



There are new exciting questions, both theoretical and computational, arising from this multiple time structure.

In the course of answering these questions, a new theory is taking shape from the synthesis of PDS and EVI, and, as such, it deserves a name of its own; we call it **double-layered dynamics.** 

We have extended the Nagurney and Qiang network efficiency measure to *dynamic networks.* 



Home About Background Activities Publications Media Links What's New Search



The Virtual Center for Supernetworks at the Isenberg School of Management, under the directorship of Anna Nagurney, the John F. Smith Memorial Professor, is an interdisciplinary center, and includes the Supernetworks Laboratory for Computation and Visualization.

**Mission:** The mission of the Virtual Center for Supernetworks is to foster the study and application of supernetworks and to serve as a resource to academia, industry, and government on networks ranging from transportation, supply chains, telecommunication, and electric power networks to economic, environmental, financial, knowledge and social networks.

The Applications of Supernetworks Include: multimodal transportation networks, critical infrastructure, energy and the environment, the Internet and electronic commerce, global supply chain management, international financial networks, web-based advertising, complex networks and decision-making, integrated social and economic networks, network games, and network metrics.



Thank you!

# For more information, see http://supernet.som.umass.edu



The Virtual Center for Supernetworks