Identification of Vulnerabilities in Fragile Network Systems: Transportation Networks, the Internet, Financial Networks, and Electric Power

Anna Nagurney

John F. Smith Memorial Professor Department of Finance and Operations Management University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003



I3P Consortium Meeting University of Massachusetts October 29, 2009



Funding for this research has been provided by:



AT&T

National Science Foundation AT&T Foundation John F. Smith Memorial Fund -University of Massachusetts at Amherst

THE ROCKEFELLER FOUNDATION



RADCLIFFE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

We Are in a New Era of Decision-Making Characterized by:

- complex interactions among decision-makers in organizations;
- alternative and at times *conflicting criteria* used in decision-making;
- constraints on resources: natural, human, financial, time, etc.;
- global reach of many decisions;
- *high impact* of many decisions;
- increasing risk and uncertainty, and
- the *importance of dynamics* and realizing a fast and sound response to evolving events.

Network problems are their own class of problems and they come in various forms and formulations, i.e., as optimization (linear or nonlinear) problems or as equilibrium problems and even dynamic network problems. The study of the efficient operation on transportation networks dates to *ancient Rome* with a classical example being the publicly provided Roman road network and the *time of day chariot policy,* whereby chariots were banned from the ancient city of Rome at particular times of day.



Characteristics of Networks Today

- *large-scale nature* and complexity of network topology;
- congestion;
- the *interactions among networks* themselves such as in transportation versus telecommunications;
- policies surrounding networks today may have a major impact not only economically but also environmentally, socially, politically, and securitywise.

 alternative behaviors of the users of the network

- system-optimized versus

- user-optimized (network equilibrium),

which may lead to

paradoxical phenomena.

The Braess (1968) Paradox

Assume a network with a single O/D pair (1,4). There are 2 paths available to travelers: $p_1=(a,c)$ and $p_2=(b,d)$.

For a travel demand of 6, the equilibrium path flows are $x_{p_1}^* = x_{p_2}^* = 3$ and

The equilibrium path travel cost is

 $C_{p_1} = C_{p_2} = 83.$



a

2

C

b

d

3

Adding a Link Increases Travel Cost for All!

- Adding a new link creates a new path **p**₃=(a,e,d).
- The original flow distribution pattern is no longer an equilibrium pattern, since at this level of flow the cost on path p_3 , C_{p_3} =70.
- The new equilibrium flow pattern network is

$$\mathbf{x}_{p_1}^* = \mathbf{x}_{p_2}^* = \mathbf{x}_{p_3}^* = 2.$$

The equilibrium path travel costs:

 $C_{p_1} = C_{p_2} = C_{p_3} = 92.$



 $c_{e}(f_{e}) = f_{e} + 10$

The 1968 Braess article has been translated from German to English and appears as

On a Paradox of Traffic Planning

by Braess, Nagurney, Wakolbinger

in the November 2005 issue of *Transportation*

Über ein Paradoxon aus der Verkehrsplanung

Von D. BRAESS, Münster¹)

Eingegangen am 28, Mårz 1968

Zutaussnerfatzung: Für die Straßenverkehrsplanung möchte man den Verkehrsfluß auf den enzelna Staßen des Netzes abschäten, wenn die Zahl der Fahrange bekennt ist, die zwischen dan einvelnan Punkten des Staßenstess verkehren. Welche Wege am görzigsten sind, hängt num nicht unt von der Bischaftleichst der Straße ab, sondern auch von der Verkehrstleche. Es ergeben sich nicht immer optimale Fahrzeiten, wenn jeder Fahrer nur für sich den glinetigsten Wag haraus-sucht. In einigen Fällen kann sich durch Erweiterung des Netzes der Verkehrsthuß segart so um-lagern, dals gröberre Fahrzeiten erforderlich worden.

Summary: For each point of a weal activate is driven the number of entra starting from it tradits detuntions of the Garan Unger three conditions on which to constants or the durations of the tradit of the Garan Unger three conditions in the start of tradits of the start of tradits driven is driven at trace is performable to archive creat depends on oddy upon the quarkly to the lower of tradits of the start of tradits driven at trace is performable at a start of tradits driven at trace is a start of the start of tradits driven at trace is a start of tradits driven at trace is a start of the start of tradits driven at trace is a start of the start of tradits driven at trace is a start of tradits driven at trace is driven at trace is a start of the start of tradits driven at trace is driven at trace is

1. Einleitung

Für die Verkehrsphrung und Verkehrsteuerung interessiert, wie sich der Fahrzeugstrom auf die einzelnen Straßen des Verkehrsnetzes verteilt. Bekannt sei dabei die Anzahl der Fahrzeuge für alle Ausgangs- und Zielpunkte. Bei der Berechnung wird davon ausgegangen, daß von den möglichen Wegen jeweils der günstigste gewählt wird. Wie günstig ein Weg ist, richtet sich nach dem Aufwand, der zum Durchfahren nötig ist. Die Grundlage für die Bewertung des Aufwandes bildet die Fahrzeit.

Für die mathematische Behandlung wird das Straßennetz durch einen gerichte ten Graphen beschrieben. Zur Charaktensierung der Bögen gehört die Angabe des Zeitaufwandes. Die Bestimmung der günstigen Stromverteilungen kann als gelöst betrachtet werden, wenn die Bewertung konstant ist, d. h., wenn die Fahrzeiten unabhängig von der Größe des Verkehrsflusses sind. Sie ist dann äquivalent mit der bekannten Aufgabe, den kürzesten Abstand zweier Punkte eines Graphen und den zugehörigen kritischen Pfad zu bestimmen [1], [5], [7].

Will man das Modell aber realistischer gestalten, ist zu berücksichtigen, daß die benötigte Zeit stark von der Stärke des Verkehrs abhängt. Wie die folgenden Untersuchungen zeigen, ergeben sich dann gegenüber dem Modell mit konstanten (belastungsunabhängiger) Bewertung z. T. völlig neue Aspekte. Dabei erweist sich schon eine Präzisierung der Problemstellung als notwendig; denn es ist zwischen dem Strom zu unterscheiden, der für alle am günstigsten ist, und dem, der sich einstellt, wenn jeder Fahrer nur seinen eigenen Weg optimalisiert.

³) Priv.-Doz. Dr. Durmaen BRAESS₂ Institut für numerische und instrumentelle Mathematik, 44 Münster, Hüfferstr. 3 a.



TRANSPORTATION SCIENCE No. 4, November 2005, pp. 446-450 41.1655 (means 1526-5447) 051 (3904) 0446

informs. on 10.1287/tmc.1050.012 #2385_INFORM

On a Paradox of Traffic Planning

Dietrich Braess Faculty of Mathematics, Ruhr-Ur

Anna Nagurney, Tina Wakolbinger

such point of a read network, lot there be given the number of cars starting from it, and the desit he cars. Urdat these conditions one wishes to estimate the distribution of traffic flaw. Whather one stable to another dispends not only on the quality of the read, but also on the density of the f fibrer takes the path that locks most flavorable to find, the readiant running times nod reto be m

Key annés: statilic natwork planning; parades; oquilibrium; crisical filows; optimal flaws; oxistence shaoron Ristory: Bacelved: April 2005; rovision received: June 2005; accepted: July 2005.

lranslassed from this original German: Braoss, Diserich. 1968. Über ein Paradoxon aus der Verkehrsplarung. Internehrungsisschutg 12 258–268.

Introduction

The distribution of traffic flow on the roads of a traf-The distribution of traffic flow or the roads of a nuf-ter network of minester to mainly planes and traffic controllers. We assume that the number of validate the second second second second second second second assumption that the most favorable roads are not assumption that the most favorable roads are not depend or one more down from the most and are not assumption of the second second second second for the mathematical moments. A (curved) much for the mathematical moments λ (curved) much associated with another his λ is constant, i.e., of if the time is more down of the hist is constant, the second second second second second second moments of the times is more dependent or favorable the second second second of whether times is more dependent or value down as the times is more dependent or value down as

If the reserved time for each black is constant, i.e., of the time is independent of the random of valuations on the lash. In this case, it is equivalent to comparing the down more than the response of the time of the time down more that corresponding circuit down more majority down more that the travel time on the lasks will not the time of the travel time. On the lasks we have a constant the travel time on the lasks will be ranged above the time of time on the lasks will be ranged above the travel time. On the lasks well taming the special time trave time on the lasks will be ranged above the travel time. On the lasks well be regarded with the travel time on the lasks will be regarded. We have to damagnath between the range travel with the to damagnath between the range travel time travel time.

that is achieved if each user attempts to optimize his

Germany: districk brass@mb.ds

that is addressed if each user attempts to optimica bits. Reference in a supple model network work only four modes, we will discuss typical leastness that contra-dict facts that seems to be plausible-cantil control of taffic can be advantageous even for these diverse when final, that hy will discover more pollabil-ability of the parades, that an extension of the read-schildren of the parades, that an extension of the read-meters hy an additional used can cause and entropy the parades, that are estimated at the optimical travel of the parades that are estimated at the optimical tensor of the parades.

Graph and Road Network Directed graphs are used for modeling road maps, and the links, the connectors between the nodes, have an orisonitation (Berge 1985, your Falserhausen 1966). Two links that differ only by their direction

18%). Dree links that diller only by their direction are depicted in forgines by crolls worknot an included. In the second second second second second second interaction. Where a rare of statical designion is necessary, an interaction may be drasled into (dras and second second

Transportation and Fragile Network Systems

The TNE Paradigm is the Unifying Paradigm for:

Transportation Networks

The Internet

- Financial Networks
- Electric Power Supply Chains.

The Equivalence of Supply Chains and Transportation Networks



Nagurney, Transportation Research E 42: (2006) pp 293-316

Supply Chain - Transportation Supernetwork Representation



Nagurney, Ke, Cruz, Hancock, Southworth, Environment and Planning B (2002).

The Electric Power Supply Chain Network



Nagurney and Matsypura, Proceedings of the CCCT (2004).

The Transportation Network Equilibrium Reformulation of Electric Power Supply Chain Networks



Electric Power Supply Network Transportation Chain Network

Nagurney et al., Transportation Research E 43: (2007) pp 624-646

In 1952, Copeland wondered whether money flows like water or electricity.

The Transportation Network Equilibrium Reformulation of the Financial Network Equilibrium Model with Intermediation



Liu and Nagurney, Computational Management Science 4: (2007) pp 243-281

We have shown that *money* as well as *electricity* flow like *transportation* and have answered questions posed fifty years ago by Copeland and by Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten! Recent disasters have demonstrated the importance and the vulnerability of network systems.

Examples include:

- 9/11 Terrorist Attacks, September 11, 2001;
- The biggest blackout in North America, August 14, 2003;
- Two significant power outages in September 2003 -- one in the UK and the other in Italy and Switzerland;
- Hurricane Katrina, August 23, 2005;
- The Minneapolis I35 Bridge Collapse, August 1, 2007.

Earthquake Damage

Tsunami

letthesunshinein.wordpress.com

prcs.org.pk



Storm Damage

www.srh.noaa.gov





Infrastructure Collapse

www.10-7.com



Electric Power Network Disasters



media.collegepublisher.com



www.cellar.org



www.crh.noaa.gov

Communication Network Disasters



www.tx.mb21.co.uk





www.w5jgv.com

www.wirelessestimator.com



FRAGILE NETWORKS

Identifying Vulnerabilities and Synergies in an Uncertain World

Anna Nagurney / Qiang Qiang

WILEY

The Nagurney and Qiang (N-Q) Network Efficiency Measure

The network performance/efficiency measure $\mathcal{E}(G,d)$, for a given network topology G and fixed demand vector d, is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}(G,d) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \frac{d_w}{\lambda_w}}{n_W},$$

where n_w is the number of O/D pairs in the network and λ_w is the equilibrium disutility for O/D pair *w*.

Nagurney and Qiang, Europhysics Letters, 79 (2007).

Importance of a Network Component

Definition: Importance of a Network Component

The importance, I(g), of a network component $g \in G$ is measured by the relative network efficiency drop after g is removed from the network:

$$I(g) = \frac{\triangle \mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(G, d) - \mathcal{E}(G - g, d)}{\mathcal{E}(G, d)},$$

where *G*-*g* is the resulting network after component g is removed.

Example - Sioux Falls Network

The network data are from LeBlanc, Morlok, and Pierskalla (1975).

The network has 528 O/D pairs, 24 nodes, and 76 links.

The user link cost functions are of Bureau of Public Roads (BPR) form.



Example - Sioux Falls Network Link Importance Rankings



Link

Motivation for Research on Transportation Network Robustness

According to the American Society of Civil Engineering:

Poor maintenance, natural disasters, deterioration over time, as well as unforeseen attacks now lead to estimates of \$94 billion in the US in terms of needed repairs for roads alone.

Poor road conditions in the United States cost US motorists \$54 billion in repairs and operating costs annually.

The focus of the robustness of networks (and complex networks) has been on the impact of different network measures when facing the removal of nodes on networks.

We focus on the *degradation of links through reductions in their capacities* and the effects on the induced travel costs in the presence of known travel demands and different functional forms for the links.

What About Dynamic Networks?

We are using evolutionary variational inequalities to model dynamic networks with:

- dynamic (time-dependent) supplies and demands
- dynamic (time-dependent) capacities
- structural changes in the networks themselves.

Such issues are important for robustness, resiliency, and reliability of networks (including supply chains and the Internet). A network like the Internet is volatile. Its traffic patterns can change quickly and dramatically... The assumption of a static model is therefore particularly suspect in such networks. (page 10 of Roughgarden's (2005) book, Selfish Routing and the Price of Anarchy).

A Dynamic Model of the Internet

The Internet, Evolutionary Variational Inequalities, and the Time-Dependent Braess Paradox, Nagurney, Parkes, and Daniele, *Computational Management Science* **4** (2007), 355-375.

The Time-Dependent (Demand-Varying) Braess Paradox and Evolutionary Variational Inequalities

Recall the Braess Network where we add the link e.



The Solution of an Evolutionary (Time-Dependent) Variational Inequality for the Braess Network with Added Link (Path)



In Demand Regime I, only the new path is used. In Demand Regime II, the Addition of a New Link (Path) Makes Everyone Worse Off! In Demand Regime III, only the original paths are used.



Network 1 is the Original Braess Network - Network 2 has the added link.

The new link is NEVER used after a certain demand is reached even if the demand approaches infinity.

Hence, in general, except for a limited range of demand, building the new link is a complete waste!

Extension of the Network Efficiency Measure to Dynamic Networks

An Efficiency Measure for Dynamic Networks Modeled as Evolutionary Variational Inequalities with Applications to the Internet and Vulnerability Analysis, Nagurney and Qiang, Netnomics (2008).

Where Are We Now?

An Integrated Electric Power Supply Chain and Fuel Market Network Framework: Theoretical Modeling with Empirical Analysis for New England, Liu and Nagurney, Naval Research Logistics, 56, pp.600-624 (2009).



Empirical Case Study

- New England electric power market and fuel markets
- 82 generators who own and operate 573 power plants
- 5 types of fuels: natural gas, residual fuel oil, distillate fuel oil, jet fuel, and coal
- Ten regions (R=10): 1. Maine, 2. New Hampshire, 3. Vermont, 4. Connecticut(excluding Southwest Connecticut), 5. Southwest Connecticut(excluding Norwalk-Stamford area), 6. Norwalk-Stamford area, 7. Rhode Island, 8. Southeast Massachusetts, 9. West and Central Massachusetts, 10. Boston/Northeast Massachusetts
- Hourly demand/price data of July 2006 (24 × 31 = 744 scenarios)
- 6 blocks (L1 = 94 hours, and Lw = 130 hours; w = 2, ..., 6)

The New England Electric Power Supply Chain Network with Fuel Suppliers



Predicted Prices vs. Actual Prices (\$/Mwh)



Ongoing Research and Questions

- Identification of the most important nodes and links in large-scale electric power supply chains as in our empirical case study and in financial networks.
- Design of networks to handle dynamic demands and various uncertainties (cost, demand, etc.) under system-optimizing or user-optimizing behaviors.
- Quantification of network synergies through mergers and acquisitions.
- Further research on network resilience and robustness.
- Extension of the network efficiency measure to handle different kinds of risk; we have completed some work in this dimension.



Supernetworks for Optimal Decision-Making and Improving the Global Quality of Life

Home About Background Activities Publications Media Links What's New Search



The Virtual Center for Supernetworks at the Isenberg School of Management, under the directorship of Anna Nagurney, the John F. Smith Memorial Professor, is an interdisciplinary center, and includes the Supernetworks Laboratory for Computation and Visualization.

Mission: The mission of the Virtual Center for Supernetworks is to foster the study and application of supernetworks and to serve as a resource to academia, industry, and government on networks ranging from transportation, supply chains, telecommunication, and electric power networks to economic, environmental, financial, knowledge and social networks.

The Applications of Supernetworks Include: multimodal transportation networks, critical infrastructure, energy and the environment, the Internet and electronic commerce, global supply chain management, international financial networks, web-based advertising, complex networks and decision-making, integrated social and economic networks, network games, and network metrics.



http://supernet.som.umass.edu