

# Quantification of International Trade Network Performance Under Disruptions to Supply, Transportation, and Demand Capacity, and Exchange Rates in Disasters

**Anna Nagurney<sup>1</sup>, Dana Hassani<sup>1</sup>, Oleg Nivievskiy<sup>2</sup>, Pavlo Martyshev<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Operations and Information Management  
Isenberg School of Management  
University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, Massachusetts

<sup>2</sup> Center for Food and Land Use Research  
Kyiv School of Economics, Kyiv, Ukraine

**34th Annual POMS Conference**  
**Minneapolis, MN, April 25-29, 2024**

# Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the partnership between the University of Massachusetts Amherst and the Kyiv School of Economics for supporting this collaboration.




# Introduction

**Disasters, both sudden-onset and slow-onset ones, are transforming the landscapes for global trade, generating shocks on the supply side, on transportation, as well as on the demand side.**



# Introduction

**The COVID-19 pandemic vividly demonstrated the impacts of the shutdowns of production plants and the challenges associated with the harvesting of agricultural products, plus the changes in the demand for many products.**



# Introduction

**The Horn of Africa is experiencing its worst ever recorded drought, pushing more than 3 million of the area's residents to extreme levels of food insecurity and also resulting in the displacement of millions of people.**

**In the US, the California wildfires, further exacerbated by climate change and droughts, have resulted in billions of dollars of yearly damage to the agricultural industry.**



# Introduction


**Earthquakes in early February 2023 devastated parts of Turkey, including agricultural lands, and have affected the country's GDP.**

**Increasing global strife and violence, notably the full-scale invasion of Ukraine by Russia on February 24, 2022, have led to growing suffering and loss of life, as well as major impacts on economies and trade.**



# Introduction

Disasters have caused and will continue to cause, in an era of climate change and global uncertainty, disruptions to the production, transportation, and consumption of many commodities that are needed for the sustenance and sustainability of humanity.



# Literature Review

- The intellectual foundations for our modeling framework are based on the classical work of Samuelson (1952) and Takayama and Judge (1964, 1971) in spatial price equilibrium modeling but with the benefit of the variational inequality methodology (cf. Nagurney (1999)).
- We build upon the works of Nagurney et al. (2023, 2024), which introduced exchange rates in general multicommodity spatial price equilibrium models for international trade.
- The research in this paper adds to the growing, broad literature on network vulnerability. For a summary of network robustness and resilience measures please refer to Sharkey et al. (2020).
- The work in this paper also adds to the literature on disaster management in terms of mitigation and recovery. A plethora of papers on the dynamics of disasters, relevant models, algorithms, and applications can be found in the edited volumes of Kotsireas, Nagurney, and Pardalos (2018) and Kotsireas et al. (2021).



# Our Contributions

- We allow for not only capacities on the supplies and the transportation routes but also at the demand markets.
- We introduce distinct disaster scenarios, with associated probabilities and capacities, as well as disruptions to exchange rates.
- We construct a network performance measure under each specific disaster scenario disruption and propose a unified network performance measure.
- A robustness measure is constructed using the unified network performance measure and the performance of the network in the absence of disruptions.
- An importance indicator is proposed to allow for the quantification of network components and their ranking.
- The framework is applied to Russia's war on Ukraine under different scenarios.

# The Multicommodity International Trade Model




Figure 1: The Multicommodity International Trade Network

# Parameters

Notation	Parameter Definition
$u_i^{s^k \xi_l}$	upper bound on supply of commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ at supply market $i$ ; $i = 1, \dots, m$ under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ .
$u_{ijr}^{Q^k \xi_l}$	upper bound on transport of commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ from supply market $i$ ; $i = 1, \dots, m$ to demand market $j$ ; $j = 1, \dots, n$ on route $r$ ; $r = 1, \dots, P$ under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ .
$u_j^{d^k \xi_l}$	upper bound on the demand of commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ at demand market $j$ ; $j = 1, \dots, n$ , under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ . We group all the upper bounds for all the disaster scenarios into the vector $u$ .
$e_{ij}^{\xi_l}$	exchange rate from supply market $i$ ; $i = 1, \dots, m$ to demand market $j$ ; $j = 1, \dots, n$ and disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ . We group the exchange rates for disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ into the vector $e^{\xi_l} \in R_+^{mn}$ and then group all the exchange rates for all the disaster scenarios into the vector $e \in R_+^{mn\omega}$ .

# Variables

Notation	Variable Definition
$s_i^{k\xi_l}$	the supply of the commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ , at supply market $i$ ; $i = 1, \dots, m$ under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ . We group all the supplies at disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ into the vector $s^{\xi_l} \in R_+^{Km}$ , and then group all the supplies for all the disaster scenarios into the vector $s \in R_+^{Km\omega}$ .
$d_j^{k\xi_l}$	the demand for the commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ at demand market $j$ ; $j = 1, \dots, n$ under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ . We group all the demands at disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ into the vector $d^{\xi_l} \in R_+^{Kn}$ , and then group all the demands for all the disaster scenarios into the vector $d \in R_+^{Kn\omega}$ .
$Q_{ijr}^{k\xi_l}$	the shipment of the commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ , from supply market $i$ ; $i = 1, \dots, m$ , to demand market $j$ ; $j = 1, \dots, n$ , on route $r$ ; $r = 1, \dots, P$ under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ . We group all the commodity shipments at disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ into the vector $Q^{\xi_l} \in R_+^{KmnP}$ , and then group all the commodity shipments into the vector $Q \in R_+^{KmnP\omega}$ .

# Functions

Notation	Function Definition
$\pi_i^k(s^{\xi_l})$	the supply price function for commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ , at supply market $i$ ; $i = 1, \dots, m$ under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ .
$\rho_j^k(d^{\xi_l})$	the demand price function for commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ at demand market $j$ ; $j = 1, \dots, n$ under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ .
$c_{ijr}^k(Q^{\xi_l})$	the unit transportation cost associated with shipping the commodity $k$ ; $k = 1, \dots, K$ , from supply market $i$ ; $i = 1, \dots, m$ , to demand market $j$ ; $j = 1, \dots, n$ via route $r$ ; $r = 1, \dots, P$ under disaster scenario $\xi_l$ ; $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ .

# Equilibrium Conditions

## Definition 1: The Multicommodity International Trade Network Equilibrium Conditions Under Capacity Disruptions in Disasters

A shipment and Lagrange pattern  $(Q^{\xi_l*}, \lambda^{s\xi_l*}, \lambda^{Q\xi_l*}, \lambda^{d\xi_l*}) \in \mathcal{K}^{\xi_l}$ , where

$$\mathcal{K}^{\xi_l} \equiv \{(Q^{\xi_l}, \lambda^{s\xi_l}, \lambda^{Q\xi_l}, \lambda^{d\xi_l}) | (Q^{\xi_l}, \lambda^{s\xi_l}, \lambda^{Q\xi_l}, \lambda^{d\xi_l}) \in R_+^{KmnP+Km+KmnP+Kn}\}$$

is a multicommodity international trade network equilibrium under disaster scenario  $\xi_l$ ;  $l = 1, \dots, \omega$ , if the following conditions hold: for all commodities  $k$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, K$ ; for all supply and demand market pairs:  $(i, j)$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and for all routes  $r$ ;  $r = 1, \dots, P$ :

$$(\tilde{\pi}_i^k(Q^{\xi_l*}) + c_{ijr}^k(Q^{\xi_l*}))e_{ij}^{\xi_l} + \lambda_i^{s^k\xi_l*} + \lambda_{ijr}^{Q^k\xi_l*} + \lambda_j^{d^k\xi_l*} \begin{cases} = \tilde{\rho}_j^k(Q^{\xi_l*}), & \text{if } Q_{ijr}^{k\xi_l*} > 0, \\ \geq \tilde{\rho}_j^k(Q^{\xi_l*}), & \text{if } Q_{ijr}^{k\xi_l*} = 0; \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

# Equilibrium Conditions

For all commodities  $k$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , and for all supply markets  $i$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, m$ :

$$u_i^{s^k \xi_l} \begin{cases} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^P Q_{ijr}^{k \xi_l^*}, & \text{if } \lambda_i^{s^k \xi_l^*} > 0, \\ \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^P Q_{ijr}^{k \xi_l^*}, & \text{if } \lambda_i^{s^k \xi_l^*} = 0; \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

for all commodities  $k$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , and for all supply and demand markets  $(i, j)$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and for all routes  $r$ ;  $r = 1, \dots, P$ :

$$u_{ijr}^{Q^k \xi_l} \begin{cases} = Q_{ijr}^{k \xi_l^*}, & \text{if } \lambda_{ijr}^{Q^k \xi_l^*} > 0, \\ \geq Q_{ijr}^{k \xi_l^*}, & \text{if } \lambda_{ijr}^{Q^k \xi_l^*} = 0; \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and for all commodities  $k$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , and for all demand markets  $j$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and for all routes  $r$ ;  $r = 1, \dots, P$ :

$$u_j^{d^k \xi_l} \begin{cases} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{r=1}^P Q_{ijr}^{k \xi_l^*}, & \text{if } \lambda_j^{d^k \xi_l^*} > 0, \\ \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{r=1}^P Q_{ijr}^{k \xi_l^*}, & \text{if } \lambda_j^{d^k \xi_l^*} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

# Variational Inequality Formulation

## Theorem 1

*A multicommodity shipment and Lagrange multiplier pattern*

$(Q^{\xi_I*}, \lambda^{s\xi_I*}, \lambda^{Q\xi_I*}, \lambda^{d\xi_I*}) \in \mathcal{K}^{\xi_I}$  *is a multicommodity international trade network equilibrium under capacity disruptions in disasters, according to Definition 1, if and only if it satisfies the variational inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^P \left[ (\tilde{\pi}_i^k(Q^{\xi_I*}) + c_{ijr}^k(Q^{\xi_I*})) e_{ij}^{\xi_I} + \lambda_i^{s^k \xi_I*} + \lambda_{ijr}^{Q^k \xi_I*} + \lambda_j^{d^k \xi_I*} - \tilde{\rho}_j^k(Q^{\xi_I*}) \right] \times (Q_{ijr}^{k\xi_I} - Q_{ijr}^{k\xi_I*}) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ u_i^{s^k \xi_I} - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^P Q_{ijr}^{k\xi_I*} \right] \times (\lambda_i^{s^k \xi_I} - \lambda_i^{s^k \xi_I*}) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^P \left[ u_{ijr}^{Q^k \xi_I} - Q_{ijr}^{k\xi_I*} \right] \times (\lambda_{ijr}^{Q^k \xi_I} - \lambda_{ijr}^{Q^k \xi_I*}) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ u_j^{d^k \xi_I} - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{r=1}^P Q_{ijr}^{k\xi_I*} \right] \times (\lambda_j^{d^k \xi_I} - \lambda_j^{d^k \xi_I*}) \geq 0, \quad \forall (Q^{\xi_I}, \lambda^{s\xi_I}, \lambda^{Q\xi_I}, \lambda^{d\xi_I}) \in \mathcal{K}^{\xi_I}. \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$



# Assessing Performance of International Trade Networks

## Definition 2: International Trade Network Performance Indicator Under Capacity and Exchange Rate Disruption $\xi_I$

*For an international trade network  $G = [N, L]$ , where  $N$  is the set of nodes and  $L$  is the set of links, as depicted in Figure 1, and, given the underlying multicommodity supply price, unit transportation cost, and demand price functions, and exchange rates and capacities associated with disaster scenario  $\xi_I$ , we define the performance  $\mathcal{E}^{\xi_I}$  as follows:*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\xi_I}(G, \tilde{\pi}, c, \tilde{\rho}, u^{\xi_I}, e^{\xi_I}) = \frac{1}{Kn} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d_j^{k\xi_I*}}{\hat{\rho}_j^k(Q^{\xi_I*})}, \quad (6)$$

*where the demands and the incurred demand market prices are obtained through the solution of variational inequality (5) for the problem.*

# Assessing Performance of International Trade Networks

## Definition 3: Unified International Trade Network Performance Measure

*The performance indicator  $\mathcal{E}$  for an international trade network under disruption set  $\Xi$  and with associated probabilities  $p_{\xi_1}, p_{\xi_2}, \dots, p_{\xi_\omega}$ , respectively, is defined as:*

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{l=1}^{\omega} \mathcal{E}^{\xi_l} p_{\xi_l}. \quad (7)$$

*We let  $\mathcal{E}^0$  be the performance of the international trade network under its original (not disrupted) upper bounds/capacities and original exchange rates, such that:*

$$\mathcal{E}^0(G, \tilde{\pi}, c, \tilde{\rho}, u^0, e^0) = \frac{1}{Kn} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d_j^{k*}}{\hat{\rho}_j^k(Q^*)}, \quad (8)$$

*where  $u^0$  denotes the vector of original capacities not under disruptions and  $e^0$  denotes the vector of exchange rates, also, not under disruptions. We can also refer to both the expressions in (7) and (8) as “efficiency” measures.*

# Assessing Performance of International Trade Networks

## Definition 4: Robustness of an International Trade Network Under Disruptions

*The robustness,  $\mathcal{R}$ , of an international trade network under capacity and exchange rate disruptions is calculated as:*

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{E}^0 - \mathcal{E}. \quad (9)$$

*According to the above definition, an international trade network is more robust if, under disruptions, its performance lies close to its performance in the absence of disruptions; that is, the closer the value of  $\mathcal{R}$  is to 0.00, the more robust to disruptions the international trade network is.*

# Assessing Performance of International Trade Networks

## Definition 5: Importance Indicator of an International Trade Network Component

*The importance indicator of an international trade network component  $g$  where  $g$  can correspond to a supply market, a demand market, or a transportation route, or a combination thereof is defined as:*

$$I(g) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{E}(G, \tilde{\pi}, c, \tilde{\rho}, u^0, e^0) - \mathcal{E}(G - g, \tilde{\pi}, c, \tilde{\rho}, u^0, e^0)}{\mathcal{E}(G, \tilde{\pi}, c, \tilde{\rho}, u^0, e^0)}, \quad (10)$$

*where  $G - g$  denotes the graph with the component  $g$  no longer functioning.*

*Note that the international trade network component importance indicator (10) quantifies the relative efficiency/performance drop of the trade network when the component is no longer available.*

# Numerical Examples

The data for the examples are based on Russia's war on Ukraine.




Figure 2: The International Trade Network for the Numerical Examples

# Baseline Example

- First, we present a baseline example (without disruptions) that is a slightly altered version of Example 6 in Nagurney et al. (2024).
- There are two transportation routes connecting Ukraine with Lebanon and two transportation routes connecting Ukraine with Egypt.
- We denote the wheat commodity by 1 and that of corn by 2.
- The time horizon is one year, and the unit for the commodity shipments is tons with prices and costs associated with a ton of the specific commodity.
- The Modified Projection Method (Korpelevich (1977)) is the algorithm used to solve the examples.

# Baseline Example

As reported in Nagurney et al. (2024), where different notation was used, the modified projection method converges to the following equilibrium commodity shipment pattern:

$$Q_{111}^{1*} = 477,085.5938, \quad Q_{121}^{1*} = 1,605,672.5000, \quad Q_{112}^{1*} = 0.0000, \quad Q_{122}^{1*} = 0.0000,$$

$$Q_{111}^{2*} = 79,128.0781, \quad Q_{121}^{2*} = 560,130.3750, \quad Q_{112}^{2*} = 0.0000, \quad Q_{122}^{2*} = 0.0000.$$

All the Lagrange multipliers are equal to 0.0000. **Only the maritime routes are used. This commodity flow pattern is quite close to Ukraine's actual wheat and corn exports to Lebanon and Egypt in 2021 and the projected amounts in 2022, with the assumption that the invasion would have never occurred.**

The equilibrium commodity supplies are:

$$s_1^{1*} = 2,082,758.1250, \quad s_1^{2*} = 639,258.4375.$$

The equilibrium commodity demands are:

$$d_1^{1*} = 477,085.5938, \quad d_1^{2*} = 79,128.0781, \quad d_2^{1*} = 1,605,672.5000, \quad d_2^{2*} = 560,130.3750.$$

# Baseline Example

The incurred supply prices in Ukraine in hryvnia and in US dollars at the equilibrium are:

$$\pi_1^1(s^*) = 7,328.3252 = \$266.8542, \quad \pi_1^2(s^*) = 6,971.0166 = \$253.8432.$$

The incurred demand prices at the equilibrium in Lebanon in Lebanese pounds and in US dollars are:

$$\rho_1^1(d^*) = 530,781.1875 = \$351.0457, \quad \rho_1^2(d^*) = 520,752.9063 = \$344.4132.$$

The demand prices in Egypt in Egyptian pounds and in US dollars are:

$$\rho_2^1(d^*) = 5,527.3057 = \$351.3862, \quad \rho_2^2(d^*) = 5,555.4214 = \$353.1736.$$

**These results closely resemble the actual prices in these countries before the full-scale invasion. Ukrainian farmers earned around \$270 per ton of grain at the time. The demand prices in Lebanon and Egypt were also quite similar to the results.**



# Network Performance and the Importance of Routes

- Calculation of the performance/efficiency of the international trade network yields  $\mathcal{E}^0 = 1,936.08$ .
- The efficiency of the international trade network without the first transportation route joining Ukraine to Lebanon, is: 1,599.44, and, the importance of that transportation route is: .17.
- The efficiency of the network without the second route joining Ukraine with Lebanon is 1,936.08, and, the importance of that route is 0.00.
- The efficiency of the international trade network without the first route joining Ukraine with Egypt is 467.70, and, its importance is .76.
- The efficiency of the international trade network without the second route joining Ukraine with Egypt is: 1,936.08 and, its importance is 0.00.

**The above results reinforce the importance of the maritime routes for the efficiency of this international trade network. For example, pre-war, Ukraine used to export more than 90% of its grains via maritime freight through its Black Sea ports.**

**We now consider several disruptions, as in wartime. We retain the same exchange rates in all the scenarios.**

- Scenario  $\xi_1$  corresponds to supply capacities being reduced, as in the case of the destruction of land through mining, bombing, etc.
- The second scenario  $\xi_2$  corresponds to the disruption of the maritime transportation routes.
- The third disruption scenario  $\xi_3$  integrates the data of scenarios  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  in terms of capacity reductions in production and transportation, but now the supply capacities are further disrupted.

# Equilibrium Results Under Disruption Scenarios

Equilibrium	Scenario		
Commodity Shipment	$\xi_1$	$\xi_2$	$\xi_3$
$Q_{111}^{1\xi_1}$	480,127.50000	481,216.7500	517,281.0625
$Q_{121}^{1\xi_1}$	1,519,873.1250	1,500,000.0000	482,719.0000
$Q_{121}^{1\xi_2}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
$Q_{122}^{1\xi_2}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
$Q_{111}^{2\xi_1}$	79,433.9766	79,509.8125	83,296.5781
$Q_{121}^{2\xi_1}$	563,800.2500	563,800.2500	586,686.3125
$Q_{121}^{2\xi_2}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
$Q_{122}^{2\xi_2}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Table 2: Equilibrium Commodity Shipments Under the Disruption Scenarios

Under scenario  $\xi_1$ , all the Lagrange multipliers are equal to 0.0000 except that  $\lambda_1^{s^1\xi_1*} = 53.7601$ . Under scenario  $\xi_2$ , all the Lagrange multipliers are equal to 0.0000. Under scenario  $\xi_3$ , all the Lagrange multipliers are equal to 0.0000 except that  $\lambda_1^{s^1\xi_3*} = 734.1813$ . From the size and positivity of the Lagrange multiplier associated with the supply of wheat in Ukraine, we see the importance of preserving Ukraine's capacity for wheat production.

## Under scenario $\xi_1$ :

- Both wheat and corn shipments to Lebanon and that of corn to Egypt decrease but are still quite close to those under scenario  $\xi_0$ .
- The wheat commodity flow to Egypt decreases relatively much more.
- The disruption to the supply of corn is not impactful since Lebanon and Egypt primarily depend on wheat.
- The limited production of wheat essentially causes Lebanon and Egypt to compete over Ukrainian wheat, and it comes at the cost of Egypt's share.

## Under scenario $\xi_2$ :

- The disrupted transportation capacities are still high enough to satisfy the demands almost to the pre-war levels.
- The wheat flow to Egypt, which has a much higher demand than Lebanon, is almost at the bound.

## Under scenario $\xi_3$ :

- The further disruption to the supply of wheat in Ukraine, again, comes at the price of Egypt's share of Ukrainian wheat.
- Lebanon imports more wheat and corn, while Egypt shifts towards replacing part of its lost wheat shipment by importing more Ukrainian corn.

- We now calculate  $\mathcal{E}$  with the assumption of the following probabilities:  $p_{\xi_1} = p_{\xi_2} = .25$  and  $p_{\xi_3} = .50$ , where:  $\mathcal{E}^{\xi_1} = 1,872.62$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^{\xi_2} = 1,857.74$ , and  $\mathcal{E}^{\xi_3} = 1,163.43$ .
- Under the consideration of the three disruption scenarios, and the associated probabilities,  $\mathcal{E} = 1,514.30$ .
- Hence, the robustness  $\mathcal{R}$  is equal to 421.78 for this international trade network under such disruptions and probabilities.

**Clearly, under these disruption scenarios, which are quite representative of the actual scenarios as the war on Ukraine by Russia has progressed over more than two years, the international trade network considered here is not robust since the value of  $\mathcal{R}$  is not close to 0.00.**

# Summary

- We constructed a multicommodity international trade network equilibrium model under capacity disruptions in disasters to the production and transportation of commodities, the demand in the consuming markets, and the exchange rates.
- The governing equilibrium conditions of the international trade network were presented under each specific disaster scenario and then formulated as a variational inequality problem.
- An international trade network performance measure for each specific disaster scenario was proposed and a unified international trade network performance measure. In addition, a robustness measure was introduced.
- An international trade network importance indicator was identified. The importance indicator enables a decision-maker to rank the network components in terms of their criticality to the international trade network's performance.
- Numerical examples, drawn from the consequences of Russia's war on Ukraine, and focused on the agricultural trade of wheat and corn from Ukraine to MENA (Middle East and North Africa) countries, were presented.

# Thank You Very Much!



## The Virtual Center for Supernetworks

*Supernetworks for Optimal Decision-Making and Improving the Global Quality of Life*

Director's Welcome	About the Director	Projects	Center Associates	Media Coverage	Braess Paradox
Downloadable Articles	Visuals	Audio/Video	Books	Commentaries & OpEds	The Supernetwork Sentinel
Congratulatory Kudos					



**UMass Amherst INFORMS Student Chapter Event with KSE Exchange Students from Ukraine**

The Virtual Center for Supernetworks is an interdisciplinary center at the Isenberg School of Management that advances knowledge on large-scale networks and integrates operations research and management science, engineering, and economics. Its Director is Dr. Anna Nagurney, the Eugene M. Isenberg Chair in Integrative Studies.

**Mission:** The Virtual Center for Supernetworks fosters the study and application of supernetworks and serves as a resource on networks ranging from transportation and logistics, including supply chains, and the Internet, to a spectrum of economic networks.

**The Applications of Supernetworks Include:** decision-making, optimization, and game theory; supply chain management; critical infrastructure from transportation to electric power networks; financial networks; knowledge and social networks; energy, the environment, and sustainability; cybersecurity; Future Internet Architectures; risk management; network vulnerability, resiliency, and performance metrics; humanitarian logistics and healthcare.

Announcements and Notes	Photos of Center Activities	Photos of Network Innovators	Friends of the Center	Course Lectures	Fulbright Lectures	UMass Amherst INFORMS Student Chapter
Professor Anna Nagurney's Blog	Network Classics	Doctoral Dissertations	Conferences	Journals	Societies	Archive

**Announcements and Notes from the Center Director**  
Professor Anna Nagurney  
Updated: March 28, 2024  
Follow

**Professor Anna Nagurney's Blog**  
RENeW  
Research, Education, Networks, and the World: A Female Professor Speaks

**Sustaining the Supply Chain**  
Mathematical Moments Podcast

**America Revealed**  
PBS VIDEO

**Competing on Supply Chain Quality**  
Dynamics of Supply Chains—Key Concepts, Models, Algorithms, and Insights

**Photos of Center**

**The Braess Paradox Translation Information**

**Publications**  
On a Paradox of Traffic Planning  
Environmental Impact Assessment of Transportation Networks with Degradable Links in an Era of Climate Change

More information on our work can be found on the Supernetwork Center site: <https://supernet.isenberg.umass.edu/>