

Fashion Supply Chain Network Competition with Ecolabelling

Anna Nagurney^{1,2}, Min Yu³, and Jonas Floden²

¹Department of Operations and Information Management
Isenberg School of Management
University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts, USA

²School of Business, Economics and Law
University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

³Pamplin School of Business Administration
University of Portland, Oregon, USA

POMS 26th Annual Conference, Washington D.C.
May 8-11, 2015

This talk is based on the paper:

Nagurney, A., Yu, M., Floden, J., 2015. Fashion supply chain network competition with ecolabelling. In **Sustainable Fashion Supply Chain Management: From Sourcing to Retailing**, T.-M. Choi and T.C.E. Cheng, Editors, Springer, pp 61-84.

where a full list of references can be found.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported, in part, by the School of Business, Economics and Law at the University of Gothenburg through its Visiting Professor Program.

This support is gratefully acknowledged.

- Background and Motivation
- An Overview of the Relevant Literature
- The Fashion Supply Chain Network Model with Ecolabelling
- An Illustrative Example and Variant
- The Algorithm
- Case Study
- Summary and Conclusions

Background and Motivation

- **Clothing and accessories** are the consumer goods that, next to food and beverages, are **purchased most often** and also **replaced most frequently**.



Background and Motivation

- **Apparel and fashion products**, along with **textiles**, represent an immense industry with wide economic importance valued at **\$3 trillion** in U.S. dollars in terms of turnover in 2011.
- This industry utilizes extensive amounts of **natural resources** from water and grown cotton, **energy**, as well as **chemicals**.
- There will be **9 billion people** on our planet in 2050. Growth in this industry is expected, along with an expected increase in associated emissions, if appropriate environmental mitigation actions are not taken.

Background and Motivation

Cotton uses only **3% of the world farmlands** but more than **10% of the total pesticides** and about **25% of the world's insecticides**.



Background and Motivation

This industrial sector is a primary source of **GHG (greenhouse gas) emissions**.

- Apparel and textiles account for about **10%** of the total carbon emissions.
- Textiles are the **fifth largest contributor** to CO2 emissions in the United States.
- **10%** of the total CO2 emissions from a life cycle perspective can be attributed to transport.



Background and Motivation

- Fashion trends that once lasted for years are now replaced **several times per season**.
- The rapidly changing world of fashion pushes towards **overconsumption of resources**.



Background and Motivation

- The increasing competitive pressure on lower prices has led to production moving to **low cost** countries in the Far East with **less stricter health and safety legislation**.
- Apparel and fashion products are manufactured, stored, and distributed in global supply chains.
 - Only **20%** of the United Kingdom's annual consumption of clothing is manufactured there.
- The long and fragmented fashion supply chain is characterized by **low transparency and control**.

Background and Motivation

- There is a divide between those who get the **benefits** from fashion on the customers' side and those who pay the **social and environmental costs**.



- A transformation of this industry should include **transparency**, as well as the “**optimization**” of **environmental footprints**.

Background and Motivation

- Preliminary efforts are underway with the establishment of the **Sustainable Apparel Coalition** (SAC) and its creation of the **Higg Index**.



Background and Motivation

- **Consumers** become increasingly aware of the negative environmental impacts of manufacturing apparel.
- Many **fashion firms** are recognizing that green or eco-friendly apparel is a way of differentiating one's products and enhancing brand recognition.
- However, eco-friendly clothes are bought only if customers perceive the products as **superior** to competitors' offerings.

Background and Motivation

- There are challenges:
 - **51%** of customers identify environmental friendliness as being an important factor in their apparel purchasing decisions.
 - Only **26%** are willing to pay more for such clothes.
- Consumers need a readily accessible and easily understandable mechanism to identify the environmental impact of the apparel that they purchase.
 - **83%** of customers believe that the company should be responsible in informing the customers about the manufacturing conditions; and
 - **95%** of customers prefer to get this information through product labelling.

Background and Motivation

- **Ecolabels** reveal the product carbon footprint to consumers.
- Such labels entail a **cost** to producers, but provide **valuable information** to concerned consumers.
- The **environmental quality** associated with an apparel or fashion product may be a positive attribute.




- Fashion Supply Chains:
Choi (2011), Choi et al. (2013)
- Sustainable Supply Chains:
Sheu, Chou, and Hu (2005), Seuring and Muller (2008),
Nagurney and Nagurney (2011), Boone, Jayaraman, and
Ganeshan (2012), Nagurney (2013), Nagurney, Yu, and
Floden (2013)
- Network Economics:
Nagurney and Yu (2011), Nagurney and Yu (2012), Nagurney,
Li, and Nagurney (2013), Nagurney and Li (2014)

The Fashion Supply Chain Network Model with Ecolabelling

- The **profit-maximizing** fashion firms compete noncooperatively in an **oligopolistic** manner.
- The fashion firms incur **ecolabelling** costs.
- A fashion product is distinguished by the firm's **brand**.
 - Fast fashion products: H&M, Zara, etc.
 - Luxury brands: Chanel, Hermes, Vuitton, etc.

The Fashion Supply Chain Network Model with Ecolabelling



Conservation of Flow Equations

- f_a : the flow on **link** a ;
- x_p : the flow on **path** p joining fashion firm i with a demand market node;
- d_{ik} : the **demand** for fashion firm i 's product at demand market R_k .

Relationship between link flows and path flows

$$f_a = \sum_{p \in P} x_p \delta_{ap}, \quad \forall a \in L. \quad (1)$$

Relationship between path flows and demands

$$\sum_{p \in P_k^i} x_p = d_{ik}, \quad \forall i, \forall k. \quad (2)$$

Nonnegativity

$$x_p \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in P. \quad (3)$$

- $e_a(f_a)$: the **carbon emissions** generated on link a :
 - It includes the emissions generated also through sourcing; and
 - It also include other GHG emissions.

Total Operational Cost Functions

$$\hat{c}_a = \hat{c}_a(f, e_a(f_a)), \quad \forall a \in L. \quad (4)$$

- The total cost expressions capture **supply-side competition** among the firms for resources used in the manufacture, transportation, storage, and distribution of their products.

The fashion firms adopt **ecolabelling** due to

- Peer pressure from organizations such as SAC, and/or
- Environmental regulations, and/or
- The possible consumer pressure.

Ecolabelling Cost Functions

$$l_i = l_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_R} d_{ik} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, I. \quad (5)$$

- The cost associated with **ecolabelling** include:
 - The extra labelling of the fashion product
 - The research cost associated with quantifying the emissions

- E_i : the emissions generated by fashion firm i ;
- E : the vector of the emissions generated by all the fashion firms.

Demand Price Functions

$$\hat{p}_{ik} = \hat{p}_{ik}(x) \equiv \rho_{ik}(d, E), \quad \forall i, \forall k. \quad (6)$$

- The functions capture the **demand-side competition** of the competitive fashion supply chain network.
- Because of **ecolabelling**, the consumers at the demand markets are now informed as to the **total emissions** generated by each of the fashion firms.

The Profit Function of Firm i

$$U_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n_R} \rho_{ik}(d, E) d_{ik} - \sum_{a \in L^i} \hat{c}_a(f, e_a(f_a)) - l_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_R} d_{ik} \right). \quad (7)$$

- In this oligopoly competition problem, **the strategic variables are the product flows.**

$$X_i \equiv \{ \{x_p\} | p \in P^i \} \in R_+^{n_{P^i}} \quad \text{and} \quad X \equiv \{ \{X_i\} | i = 1, \dots, I \}$$

A path flow pattern $X^* \in K = \prod_{i=1}^l K_i$ constitutes a fashion supply chain network Cournot-Nash equilibrium with ecolabelling if for each firm i ; $i = 1, \dots, l$:

$$\hat{U}_i(X_i^*, \hat{X}_i^*) \geq \hat{U}_i(X_i, \hat{X}_i^*), \quad \forall X_i \in K_i, \quad (8)$$

where $\hat{X}_i^* \equiv (X_1^*, \dots, X_{i-1}^*, X_{i+1}^*, \dots, X_l^*)$ and $K_i \equiv \{X_i | X_i \in R_+^{n_{pi}}\}$.

An equilibrium is established if *NO* fashion firm can unilaterally improve its profit by changing its product flows, given the decisions of the other firms.

Variational Inequality Formulation

Variational Inequality (Path Flows)

Determine $x^* \in K^1$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{k=1}^{n_R} \sum_{p \in P_k^i} \left[\frac{\partial \hat{C}_p(x^*)}{\partial x_p} + \frac{\partial \hat{l}_i(x^*)}{\partial x_p} - \hat{\rho}_{ik}(x^*) - \sum_{j=1}^{n_R} \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{ij}(x^*)}{\partial x_p} \sum_{q \in P_j^i} x_q^* \right] \times [x_p - x_p^*] \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in K^1, \quad (9)$$

where $K^1 \equiv \{x | x \in R_+^{n_P}\}$.

Variational Inequality Formulation

Variational Inequality (Link Flows)

Determine $(f^*, d^*) \in K^2$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{a \in L^i} \left[\sum_{b \in L^i} \frac{\partial \hat{c}_b(f^*, e_b(f_b^*))}{\partial f_a} - \sum_{j=1}^{n_R} \frac{\partial \rho_{ij}(d^*, E)}{\partial E_i} d_{ij}^* \frac{e_a(f_a^*)}{\partial f_a} \right] \times [f_a - f_a^*] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{k=1}^{n_R} \left[\frac{\partial l_i(\sum_{j=1}^{n_R} d_{ij}^*)}{\partial d_{ik}} - \rho_{ik}(d^*, E) - \sum_{j=1}^{n_R} \frac{\partial \rho_{ij}(d^*, E)}{\partial d_{ik}} d_{ij}^* \right] \\ & \quad \times [d_{ik} - d_{ik}^*] \geq 0, \quad \forall (f, d) \in K^2, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $K^2 \equiv \{(f, d) | \exists x \geq 0, \text{ and (1) and (2) hold}\}$.

There exists at least one solution to variational inequality (9) (equivalently, to (10)), since there exists a $b > 0$, such that variational inequality

$$\langle F(X^b)^T, X - X^b \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{K}_b, \quad (11)$$

admits a solution in \mathcal{K}_b with

$$x^b \leq b. \quad (12)$$


With existence, variational inequality (11) and, hence, variational inequality (10) admits at least one solution. Moreover, if the function $F(X)$ of variational inequality (10) is strictly monotone on $\mathcal{K} \equiv K^2$, that is,

$$\langle (F(X^1) - F(X^2))^T, X^1 - X^2 \rangle > 0, \quad \forall X^1, X^2 \in \mathcal{K}, X^1 \neq X^2, \quad (13)$$



then the solution to variational inequality (10) is unique, that is, the equilibrium link flow pattern and the equilibrium demand pattern are unique.

An Illustrative Example

Fashion Firm 1



Fashion Firm 2



- **Firm 1** is located in the U.S.:
 - Its distribution center is located in The Netherlands;
 - Air transport.
- **Firm 2** is located in Bangladesh:
 - Its distribution center is located in Germany;
 - Ship transport.
- The product is a white ladies shirt. The demand market is in Germany.

An Illustrative Example

- The emission functions reflect the total CO2 generated on links, in kilograms, associated with this product.

$$e_1(f_1) = 5f_1, \quad e_2(f_2) = 2f_2, \quad e_3(f_3) = f_3, \quad e_4(f_4) = 2.5f_4,$$

$$e_5(f_5) = 6f_5, \quad e_6(f_6) = .1f_6, \quad e_7(f_7) = 2f_7, \quad e_8(f_8) = .07f_8.$$

- The total operational cost functions are:

$$\hat{c}_1(f_1, e_1(f_1)) = 5f_1^2 + 8f_1, \quad \hat{c}_2(f_2, e_2(f_2)) = 7f_2^2 + 3f_2,$$

$$\hat{c}_3(f_3, e_3(f_3)) = 2f_3^2 + f_3, \quad \hat{c}_4(f_4, e_4(f_4)) = 2f_4^2 + 2f_4,$$

$$\hat{c}_5(f_5, e_5(f_5)) = 3f_5^2 + 4f_5, \quad \hat{c}_6(f_6, e_6(f_6)) = 3.5f_6^2 + f_6,$$

$$\hat{c}_7(f_7, e_7(f_7)) = 2f_7^2 + 5f_7, \quad \hat{c}_8(f_8, e_8(f_8)) = 1.5f_8^2 + 4f_8.$$

An Illustrative Example

- The ecolabelling cost functions are:

$$l_1(d_{11}) = .02d_{11}, \quad l_2(d_{21}) = .01d_{21}.$$

- The demand price functions for the two products at demand market R_1 are:

$$\rho_{11}(d, E) = -3d_{11} - d_{21} - .5E_1 + .2E_2 + 300,$$

$$\rho_{21}(d, E) = -4.5d_{21} - d_{11} - .5E_2 + .2E_1 + 300.$$

An Illustrative Example

	Firm 1	Firm 2
Demand	6.00	7.87
Price	255.50	239.02
Emission	62.99	64.31
Profit	872.82	1,151.58

- Although Firm 2 emits more than Firm 1, Firm 1 is the polluter with more emissions per unit.
- Firm 2 delivers the fashion product at a lower price than Firm 1, and obtains a higher profit.
- Although the consumers are willing to pay more for the product from Firm 1, the profit of Firm 1 is still lower than that of Firm 2.

A Variant

The new demand price functions are:

$$\rho_{11}(d, E) = -3d_{11} - d_{21} + 300, \quad \rho_{21}(d, E) = -4.5d_{21} - d_{11} + 300.$$

	Firm 1	Firm 2
Demand	7.27	9.61
Price	268.57	249.48
Emission	76.37	78.52
Profit	1,005.00	1,339.37


- The variant example can be interpreted, from a policy perspective as an example in which the ecolabelling cost is actually a **carbon tax**.
- The value of information provided by ecolabelling results on lower emissions.

Closed Form Expression for Product Path Flows

At iteration $\tau + 1$, for all the product path flows x_p ; $p \in P_k^i$; $i = 1, \dots, I$; $k = 1, \dots, n_R$, compute:

$$x_p^{\tau+1} = \max \left\{ 0, x_p^{\tau} + a_{\tau} (\hat{\rho}_{ik}(x^{\tau})) + \sum_{l=1}^{n_R} \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{il}(x^{\tau})}{\partial x_p} \sum_{q \in P_l^i} x_q^{\tau} - \frac{\partial \hat{C}_p(x^{\tau})}{\partial x_p} - \frac{\partial \hat{l}_i(x^{\tau})}{\partial x_p} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Case Study Example 1



- M_1^1 and M_1^2 are **domestic** plants in the U.S.;
- M_2^1 and M_2^2 are **off-shore** plants;
- The distribution centers and the demand market are in the U.S.
- The product is a ladies short white nightgown.

Case Study Example 1

Link a	$\hat{c}_a(f, e_a(f_a))$	$e_a(f_a)$	f_a^*
1	$10f_1^2 + 10f_1$	$.5f_1$	5.55
2	$f_2^2 + 7f_2$	$.8f_2$	23.44
3	$10f_3^2 + 7f_3$	f_3	4.94
4	$f_4^2 + 5f_4$	$1.2f_4$	22.68
5	$f_5^2 + 4f_5$	f_5	2.33
6	$f_6^2 + 6f_6$	f_6	3.22
7	$2f_7^2 + 30f_7$	$1.2f_7$	9.63
8	$2f_8^2 + 20f_8$	f_8	13.81
9	$f_9^2 + 3f_9$	f_9	4.94
10	$f_{10}^2 + 4f_{10}$	$2f_{10}$	0.00
11	$1.5f_{11}^2 + 30f_{11}$	$1.5f_{11}$	9.55
12	$1.5f_{12}^2 + 20f_{12}$	f_{12}	13.13
13	$f_{13}^2 + 3f_{13}$	$.1f_{13}$	11.96
14	$f_{14}^2 + 2f_{14}$	$.15f_{14}$	17.03
15	$f_{15}^2 + 1.8f_{15}$	$.3f_{15}$	14.49
16	$f_{16}^2 + 1.5f_{16}$	$.5f_{16}$	13.13
17	$2f_{17}^2 + f_{17}$	f_{17}	11.96
18	$f_{18}^2 + 4f_{18}$	$.8f_{18}$	17.03
19	$f_{19}^2 + 5f_{19}$	$1.2f_{19}$	14.49
20	$1.5f_{20}^2 + f_{20}$	$1.2f_{20}$	13.13

Case Study Example 1

	Firm 1	Firm 2
Demand	28.99	27.62
Price	330.06	314.68
Emission	81.77	108.62
Profit	6,155.01	5,818.99

- Due to the effort of controlling its carbon emissions, Firm 1's product becomes more appealing in the demand market.
- The shipment quantity between Firm 2's domestic manufacturing plant M_1^2 and its distribution center D_2^2 is zero, mainly because this transportation activity can cause serious pollution to the environment.

Case Study: Sensitivity Analysis

Example 2

The consumers are more sensitive with respect to the generated carbon emissions:

$$\rho_{11}(d) = -3d_{11} - .5d_{21} - E_1 + .2E_2 + 450,$$

$$\rho_{21}(d) = -3d_{21} - .5d_{11} - E_2 + .2E_1 + 450.$$

Example 3

Firm 2 upgrades the manufacturing technologies at its domestic manufacturing plant M_1^2 :

$$\hat{c}_3(f, e_3(f_3)) = 10f_3^2 + 10f_3, \quad e_3(f_3) = .5f_3.$$

Example 4

Firm 2 implements advanced emission-reducing manufacturing technologies at its off-shore manufacturing plant M_2^2 :

$$\hat{c}_4(f, e_4(f_4)) = f_4^2 + 7f_4, \quad e_4(f_4) = .8f_4.$$

		EX 1	EX 2	EX 3	EX 4
Demands	Firm 1	28.99	24.20	24.17	24.13
	Firm 2	27.62	21.92	22.11	22.62
Prices	Firm 1	330.06	315.59	315.29	314.77
	Firm 2	314.68	299.93	301.15	302.31
Emissions	Firm 1	81.77	68.02	67.94	67.82
	Firm 2	108.62	85.80	84.01	81.35
Profits	Firm 1	6, 155.01	5, 121.86	5, 110.89	5, 091.95
	Firm 2	5, 818.99	4, 622.30	4, 658.51	4, 746.40

- A comparison of the results in Examples 1 and 2 shows that
 - The consumers' increasing environmental concerns lead to the decreases in the demands for the fashion products, as well as the prices of both products.
 - Consequently, the profits of both firms drop dramatically, while the emissions generated by both firms reduce significantly.


		EX 1	EX 2	EX 3	EX 4
Demands	Firm 1	28.99	24.20	24.17	24.13
	Firm 2	27.62	21.92	22.11	22.62
Prices	Firm 1	330.06	315.59	315.29	314.77
	Firm 2	314.68	299.93	301.15	302.31
Emissions	Firm 1	81.77	68.02	67.94	67.82
	Firm 2	108.62	85.80	84.01	81.35
Profits	Firm 1	6, 155.01	5, 121.86	5, 110.89	5, 091.95
	Firm 2	5, 818.99	4, 622.30	4, 658.51	4, 746.40

- Undoubtedly, the implementation of the advanced emission-reducing technologies could support Firm 2 to regain its competitive advantage.
- A comparison of the results in Examples 3 and 4 suggests that Firm 2 should first focus on its **off-shore manufacturing plant**, which will be more profitable.

Summary and Conclusions

- It is a rigorous, computable fashion supply chain network model that captures such notable features as
 - **Competition**,
 - **Brand differentiation**, and
 - **Ecolabelling**.
- This model allows for the investigation of the impacts of ecolabelling on firms' and consumers' behavior and responses to such a policy.
- It also enables individual firms to assess
 - Investments in emission-reducing technologies,
 - The use of alternative modes of transportation, and
 - The impacts of facility relocation
- A special case of our model could capture **carbon taxes**.

Thank You!




The Virtual Center for Supernetworks



Supernetworks for Optimal Decision-Making and Improving the Global Quality of Life

Director's Welcome	About the Director	Projects	Supernetworks Laboratory	Center Associates	Media Coverage	What's New
Downloadable Articles	Visuals	Audio/Video	Books	Commentaries & OpEds	The Supernetwork Sentinel	Congratulations & Kudos



INFORMS Student Chapter Academic Job Search Panel
April 3, 2015

The Virtual Center for Supernetworks is an interdisciplinary center at the Isenberg School of Management that advances knowledge on large-scale networks and integrates operations research and management science, engineering, and economics. Its Director is Dr. Anna Nagurney, the John F. Smith Memorial Professor of Operations Management.


Mission: The Virtual Center for Supernetworks fosters the study and application of supernetworks and serves as a resource on networks ranging from transportation and logistics, including supply chains, and the Internet, to a spectrum of economic networks.

The Applications of Supernetworks Include: decision-making, optimization, and game theory; supply chain management; critical infrastructure from transportation to electric power networks; financial networks; knowledge and social networks; energy, the environment, and sustainability; cybersecurity; Future Internet Architectures; risk management; network vulnerability, resiliency, and performance metrics; humanitarian logistics and healthcare.

Announcements and Notes	Photos of Center Activities	Photos of Network Innovators	Friends of the Center	Course Lectures	Fulbright Lectures	UMass Amherst INFORMS Student Chapter
Professor Anna Nagurney's Blog	Network Classics	Doctoral Dissertations	Conferences	Journals	Societies	Archive

Announcements and Notes from the Center Director
Professor Anna Nagurney

Updated: May 5, 2015

 Follow


Professor Anna Nagurney's Blog

RENeW

Research, Education, Networks, and the World: A Female Professor Speaks


Sustaining the Supply Chain

Mathematical Moments Podcast



PBS VIDEO

America Revealed




New Book

Networks Against Time




Photos of Center Activities



The Braess Paradox Translation

Information Photos



Publications

Environmental Impact Assessment of Transportation Networks with Degradable Links in an Era of Climate Change




You are visitor number


00093197

to the Virtual Center for Supernetworks.

WGBY Connecting Point




Humanitarian Logistics Networks in Africa



IN CONFEREN

The New York Times ENERGY IN TOMORROW CONFERENCE



For more information, see:

<http://supernet.isenberg.umass.edu/>

Nagurney, Yu, and Floden

Fashion Supply Chain Network Competition with Ecolabelling