Topic 4: Spatial Price Equilibrium

Professor Anna Nagurney

John F. Smith Memorial Professor and Director – Virtual Center for Supernetworks Isenberg School of Management University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts 01003

SCH-MGMT 825 Management Science Seminar Variational Inequalities, Networks, and Game Theory Spring 2016 ©Anna Nagurney 2016

マロト マヨト マヨト

• Products today are produced in one part of the globe and then transported not only to different regions but often even across oceans to different continents in order to satisfy demanding consumers.

• In addition, producers must compete and the reality today is that transportation costs must be considered.

- Hence, economic trade has the structure of networks.
- Spatial price equilibrium models serve as the foundation for a plethora of models for different commodities and applications.

向下 イヨト イヨト

In the spatial price equilibrium (SPE) problem, one seeks to compute the commodity supply prices, demand prices, and trade flows satisfying the equilibrium condition that the demand price is equal to the supply price plus the cost of transportation, if there is trade between the pair of supply and demand markets; if the demand price is less than the supply price plus the transportation cost, then there will be no trade.

▲同→ ▲注→ ▲注→ 二注

• Enke (1951) established the connection between spatial price equilibrium problems and electronic circuit networks.

• Samuelson (1952) and Takayama and Judge (1964, 1971) showed that the prices and commodity flows satisfying the spatial price equilibrium conditions could be determined by solving an extremal problem, in other words, a mathematical programming problem.

• There have been numerous advances since these fundamental contributions, with many models making use of variational inequalities.

同下 イヨト イヨト

Spatial price equilibrium models have been used to study problems in:

- agriculture,
- energy markets,
- and mineral economics, as well as in finance.

We will study a variety of spatial price equilibrium models, along with the fundamentals of the qualitative theory and computational procedures.

同下 イヨト イヨト

3

An SPE Application: Agriculture



Professor Anna Nagurney SCH-MGMT 825 Management Science Seminar

An SPE Application: Fuel



Professor Anna Nagurney SCH-MGMT 825 Management Science Seminar

Ecological Predator-Prey Networks Are Also Spatial Price Equilibrium Problems



Professor Anna Nagurney SCH-MGMT 825 Management Science Seminar

Network Structure of Classical SPE Models



Figure: Bipartite Network Structure of Classical SPE Models

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

Ξ

The distinguishing characteristic of spatial price equilibrium (SPE) models lies in their recognition of the importance of space and transportation costs associated with shipping a commodity from a supply market to a demand market.

These models are perfectly competitive partial equilibrium models, in that one assumes that there are many producers and consumers involved in the production and consumption, respectively, of one or more commodities.

As noted in Takayama and Judge (1971) distinct model formulations are needed, in particular, both quantity and price formulations, depending upon the availability and format of the data.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Spatial Price Equilibrium Models as Precursors to Today's Supply Chains



Professor Anna Nagurney SCH-MGMT 825 Management Science Seminar

(4 回) (4 回) (4 回)

In quantity versions of spatial price equilibrium models it is assumed that the supply price functions and demand price functions, which are a function of supplies and demands (that is, quantities), respectively, are given.

First, a simple model is described and the variational inequality formulation of the equilibrium conditions derived. Then it is shown how this model can be generalized to multiple commodities.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Consider m supply markets and n demand markets involved in the production / consumption of a commodity.

Denote a typical supply market by i and a typical demand market by j.

Let s_i denote the supply of the commodity associated with supply market i and let π_i denote the supply price of the commodity associated with supply market i.

Let d_j denote the demand associated with demand market j and let ρ_j denote the demand price associated with demand market j.

マロト イヨト イヨト 一日

Group the supplies and supply prices, respectively, into a column vector $s \in R^m$ and a column vector $\pi \in R^m$. Similarly, group the demands and the demand prices, respectively, into a column vector $d \in R^n$ and a column vector $\rho \in R^n$.

Let Q_{ij} denote the nonnegative commodity shipment between the supply and demand market pair (i, j) and let c_{ij} denote the nonnegative unit transaction cost associated with trading the commodity between (i, j). Assume that the transaction cost includes the cost of transportation; depending upon the application, one may also include a tax/tariff, fee, duty, or subsidy within this cost. Group then the commodity shipments into a column vector $Q \in R^{mn}$ and the transaction costs into a column vector $c \in R^{mn}$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

The market equilibrium conditions, assuming perfect competition, take the following form: For all pairs of supply and demand markets (i,j): i = 1, ..., m; j = 1, ..., n:

$$\pi_i + c_{ij} \begin{cases} = \rho_j, & \text{if } Q_{ij}^* > 0\\ \ge \rho_j, & \text{if } Q_{ij}^* = 0. \end{cases}$$
(1)

This condition states that if there is trade between a market pair (i, j), then the supply price at supply market *i* plus the transaction cost between the pair of markets must be equal to the demand price at demand market *j* in equilibrium; if the supply price plus the transaction cost exceeds the demand price, then there will be no shipment between the supply and demand market pair.

The following feasibility conditions must hold for every i and j:

$$s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij} \tag{2}$$

and

$$d_j = \sum_{i=1}^m Q_{ij}.$$
 (3)

同下 イヨト イヨト

3

 $K \equiv \{(s, Q, d) | Q \ge 0, \text{ and } (2) \text{ and } (3) \text{ hold} \}.$

The supply price, demand price, and transaction cost structure is now discussed. Assume that the supply price associated with any supply market may depend upon the supply of the commodity at every supply market, that is,

$$\pi = \pi(s) \tag{4}$$

where π is a known smooth function.

Similarly, the demand price associated with a demand market may depend upon, in general, the demand of the commodity at every demand market, that is,

$$\rho = \rho(d) \tag{5}$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

where ρ is a known smooth function.

The transaction cost between a pair of supply and demand markets may, in general, depend upon the shipments of the commodity between every pair of markets, that is,

$$c = c(Q) \tag{6}$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

3

where c is a known smooth function.

In the special case where the number of supply markets *m* is equal to the number of demand markets *n*, the transaction cost functions are assumed to be fixed, and the supply price functions and demand price functions are symmetric, i.e., $\frac{\partial \pi_i}{\partial s_k} = \frac{\partial \pi_k}{\partial s_i}$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$; $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial d_l} = \frac{\partial \rho_l}{\partial d_j}$, for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$; $l = 1, \ldots, n$, then the above model with supply price functions and demand price functions collapses to a class of single commodity models introduced in Takayama and Judge (1971) for which an equivalent optimization formulation exists.

▲帰▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶

3

We now present the variational inequality formulation of the equilibrium conditions.

Theorem 1 (Variational Inequality Formulation of the Quantity Model)

A commodity production, shipment, and consumption pattern $(s^*, Q^*, d^*) \in K$ is in equilibrium if and only if it satisfies the variational inequality problem:

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \pi(s^*), s-s^*
angle + \langle c(Q^*), Q-Q^*
angle - \langle
ho(d^*), d-d^*
angle \geq 0, \ &orall (s,Q,d) \in \mathcal{K}. \end{aligned}$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Variational Inequality Formulation

Proof: First it is shown that if $(s^*, Q^*, d^*) \in K$ satisfies (1) then it also satisfies (7).

Note that for a fixed market pair (i, j), one must have that

$$(\pi_i(s^*) + c_{ij}(Q^*) - \rho_j(d^*)) \times (Q_{ij} - Q_{ij}^*) \ge 0$$
(8)

for any nonnegative Q_{ij} . Indeed, if $Q_{ij}^* > 0$, then according to (1), $(\pi_i(s^*) + c_{ij}(Q^*) - \rho_j(d^*)) = 0$ and (8) must hold. On the other hand, if $Q_{ij}^* = 0$, then according to (1), $(\pi_i(s^*) + c_{ij}(Q^*) - \rho_j(d^*)) \ge 0$; and, consequently, (8) also holds. But it follows that (8) will hold for all (i, j); hence, summing over all market pairs, one has that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m\sum_{j=1}^n(\pi_i(\boldsymbol{s}^*)+\boldsymbol{c}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{Q}^*)-\rho_j(\boldsymbol{d}^*))\times(\boldsymbol{Q}_{ij}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{ij}^*)\geq 0,\ \forall \boldsymbol{Q}_{ij}\geq 0, \forall i,j.$$

(9)

Using now constraints (2) and (3), and some algebra, (9) yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \pi_i(s^*) imes (s_i - s_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(Q^*) imes (Q_{ij} - Q_{ij}^*)$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^n
ho_j(d^*) imes (d_j-d_j^*)\geq 0, \quad orall (s,Q,d)\in K,$$
 (10)

which, in vector notation, gives us (7).

向下 イヨト イヨト

Ξ

It is now shown that if $(s^*, Q^*, d^*) \in K$ satisfies (7) then it also satisfies equilibrium conditions (1).

For simplicity, utilize (7) expanded as (9). Let $Q_{ij} = Q_{ij}^*$, $\forall ij \neq kl$. Then (9) simplifies to:

$$(\pi_k(s^*) + c_{kl}(Q^*) - \rho_l(d^*)) \times (Q_{kl} - Q_{kl}^*) \ge 0$$
(11)

from which (1) follows for this kl and, consequently, for every market pair. \Box

Variational inequality (7) may be put into standard form by defining the vector $x \equiv (s, Q, d) \in R^{m+mn+n}$ and the vector $F(x)^T \equiv (\pi(s), c(Q), \rho(d))$ which maps R^{m+mn+n} into R^{m+mn+n} .

- 4 回 ト 4 日 ト - 日 日

Theorem 2

F(x) as defined above is monotone, strictly monotone, or strongly monotone if and only if $\pi(s)$, c(Q), and $\rho(d)$ are each monotone, strictly monotone, or strongly monotone in s, Q, d, respectively.

Since the feasible set K is not compact, existence of an equilibrium pattern (s^*, Q^*, d^*) does not immediately follow. Nevertheless, it follows from standard VI theory that if π , c, and ρ are strongly monotone, then existence and uniqueness of the equilibrium production, shipment, and consumption pattern are guaranteed.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

An Example

The model is now illustrated with a simple example consisting of 2 supply markets and 2 demand markets.

Example 1

The supply price functions are:

$$\pi_1(s) = 5s_1 + s_2 + 2$$
 $\pi_2(s) = 2s_2 + s_1 + 3.$

The transaction cost functions are:

$$c_{11}(Q) = Q_{11} + .5Q_{12} + 1, \quad c_{12}(Q) = 2Q_{12} + Q_{22} + 1.5$$

 $c_{21}(Q) = 3Q_{21} + 2Q_{11} + 15, \quad c_{22}(Q) = 2Q_{22} + Q_{12} + 10.$

The demand price functions are:

$$\rho_1(d) = -2d_1 - d_2 + 28.75, \quad \rho_2(d) = -4d_2 - d_1 + 41.$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

3

An Example

The equilibrium production, shipment, and consumption pattern is then given by:

$$egin{aligned} s_1^* &= 3, \quad s_2^* &= 2 \ Q_{11}^* &= 1.5, \quad Q_{12}^* &= 1.5, \quad Q_{21}^* &= 0, \quad Q_{22}^* &= 2 \ d_1^* &= 1.5, \quad d_2^* &= 3.5, \end{aligned}$$

with equilibrium supply prices, costs, and demand prices:

$$\pi_1 = 19, \quad \pi_2 = 10$$

 $c_{11} = 3.25, \quad c_{12} = 6.5, \quad c_{21} = 18, \quad c_{22} = 15.5$
 $\rho_1 = 22.25, \quad \rho_2 = 25.5.$

Note that supply market 2 does not ship to demand market 1. This is due, in part, to the high fixed cost associated with trading between this market pair. The spatial price equilibrium problem can be reformulated as a transportation network equilibrium problem over an appropriately constructed abstract network or supernetwork.

By making such a transformation, we can then apply the methodological tools developed for transportation networks to the formulation, analysis, and computation of solutions to spatial price equilibrium problems.

We will now establish how this is done (due to Dafermos and Nagurney; see the book by Nagurney (1999) for more details).

(同) (三) (三)

Construction of the Isomorphic Traffic Network Equilibrium Problem



문 > 문

Construction of the Isomorphic Traffic Network Equilibrium Problem

The O/D pairs are:

$$w_1 = (0, 1), ..., w_n = (0, n).$$

The travel disutilities are:

$$\lambda_{w_1} = \rho_1(d_1), ..., \lambda_{w_n} = \rho_n(d_n).$$

The flow on a path $p_{ij} = Q_{ij}$.

3

A Spatial Price Model on a General Network

Consider a spatial price equilibrium problem that takes place on a general network. Markets at the nodes are denoted by i, j, etc., links are denoted by a, b, etc., paths connecting a pair of markets by p, q, etc. Flows in the network are generated by a commodity. Denote the set of nodes in the network by Z. Denote the set of H links by L and the set of paths by P. Let P_{ij} denote the set of paths joining markets i and j.

The supply price vectors, supplies, and demand price vectors and demands are defined as in the previous spatial price equilibrium model.

The transportation cost associated with shipping the commodity across link *a* is denoted by c_a . Group the costs into a row vector $c \in R^H$. Denote the load on a link *a* by f_a and group the link loads into a column vector $f \in R^H$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Consider the general situation where the cost on a link may depend upon the entire link load pattern, that is,

$$c = c(f) \tag{12}$$

where c is a known smooth function.

Furthermore, the commodity being transported on path p incurs a transportation cost

$$C_{\rho} = \sum_{a \in L} c_a \delta_{a\rho}, \tag{13}$$

where $\delta_{ap} = 1$, if link *a* is contained in path *p*, and 0, otherwise, that is, the cost on a path is equal to the sum of the costs on the links comprising the path.

A Spatial Price Model on a General Network

A flow pattern Q, where Q now, without any loss of generality, denotes the vector of path flows, induces a link load f through the equation

$$f_{a} = \sum_{p \in P} Q_{p} \delta_{ap}. \tag{14}$$

Conditions (2) and (3) become now, for each i and j:

$$s_i = \sum_{j \in Z, p \in P_{ij}} Q_p \tag{15}$$

and

$$d_j = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathcal{P}_{ij}} Q_p.$$
(16)

Any nonnegative flow pattern Q is termed feasible. Let K denote the closed convex set where

$$K \equiv \{(s, f, d) | \text{ such that } (14) - -(16) \text{ hold for } Q \ge 0\}.$$

Spatial Price Equilibrium on a General Network

Equilibrium conditions (1) now become in the framework of this model: For every market pair (i, j), and every path $p \in P_{ij}$:

$$\pi_i + C_p(f^*) \begin{cases} = \rho_j, & \text{if } Q_p^* > 0\\ \ge \rho_j, & \text{if } Q_p^* = 0. \end{cases}$$
(17)

In other words, a spatial price equilibrium is obtained if the supply price at a supply market plus the cost of transportation is equal to the demand price at the demand market, in the case of trade between the pair of markets; if the supply price plus the cost of transportation exceeds the demand price, then the commodity will not be shipped between the pair of markets.

In this model, a path represents a sequence of trade or transportation links; one may also append links to the network to reflect steps in the production process. This model was developed by Dafermos and Nagurney (1984). Now the variational inequality formulation of the equilibrium conditions is established. In particular, we have:

Theorem 3 (Variational Inequality Formulation of the Quantity Model on a General Network)

A commodity production, link load, and consumption pattern $(s^*, f^*, d^*) \in K$, induced by a feasible flow pattern Q^* , is a spatial price equilibrium pattern if and only if it satisfies the variational inequality:

$$\langle \pi(s^*), s - s^* \rangle + \langle c(f^*), f - f^* \rangle - \langle \rho(d^*), d - d^* \rangle \ge 0, \forall (s, f, d) \in K.$$
(18)

・ 「 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ 一 目 ト ・

Variational Inequality Formulation

Proof: It is first established that a pattern $(s^*, f^*, d^*) \in K$ induced by a feasible Q^* and satisfying equilibrium conditions (17) also satisfies the variational inequality (18).

For a fixed market pair (i, j), and a path p connecting (i, j) one must have that

$$(\pi_i(s^*) + C_p(f^*) - \rho_j(d^*)) \times (Q_p - Q_p^*) \ge 0,$$
(19)

for any $Q_p \ge 0$.

Summing now over all market pairs (i, j) and all paths p connecting (i, j), one obtains

$$\sum_{ij} \sum_{p \in P_{ij}} (\pi_i(s^*) + C_p(f^*) - \rho_j(d^*)) \times (Q_p - Q_p^*) \ge 0.$$
 (20)

イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

Applying now (13)-(16) to (20), after some manipulations, yields $\sum_{i} \pi_{i}(s^{*}) \times (s_{i} - s_{i}^{*}) + \sum_{a} c_{a}(f^{*}) \times (f_{a} - f_{a}^{*}) - \sum_{j} \rho_{j}(d^{*}) \times (d_{j} - d_{j}^{*})$ $\geq 0, \qquad (21)$

which, in vector notation, is variational inequality (18).

向下 イヨト イヨト 二日
To prove the converse, utilize (21) expanded as (20). Specifically, set $Q_p = Q_p^*$ for all $p \neq q$, where $q \in P_{kl}$. Then (20) reduces to

$$(\pi_k(s^*) + C_q(f^*) - \rho_l(d^*)) \times (Q_q - Q_q^*) \ge 0,$$
 (22)

which implies equilibrium conditions (17) for any market pair k, l. The proof is complete. \Box

Note that if there is only a single path p joining a market pair (i, j) and no paths in the network share links then this model collapses to the spatial price model on a bipartite network depicted in Figure 1.

マロト イヨト イヨト 二日

Both the above models can be generalized to multiple commodities. Let k denote a typical commodity and assume that there are J commodities in total. Then equilibrium conditions (1) would now take the form: For each commodity k; k = 1, ..., J, and for all pairs of markets (i, j); i = 1, ..., m; j = 1, ..., n:

$$\pi_{i}^{k} + c_{ij}^{k} \begin{cases} = \rho_{j}^{k}, & \text{if } Q_{ij}^{k^{*}} > 0\\ \ge \rho_{j}^{k}, & \text{if } Q_{ij}^{k^{*}} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(23)

where π_i^k denotes the supply price of commodity k at supply market i, c_{ij}^k denotes the transaction cost associated with trading commodity k between (i, j), ρ_j^k denotes the demand price of commodity k at demand market j, and $Q_{ij}^{k^*}$ is the equilibrium flow of commodity k between i and j.

- 4 回 ト 4 日 ト - 日 日

The conservation of flow equations (2) and (3) now become

$$s_i^k = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}^k \tag{24}$$

and

$$d_j^k = \sum_{i=1}^m Q_{ij}^k \tag{25}$$

where s_i^k denotes the supply of commodity k at supply market i, d_j^k denotes the demand for commodity k at demand market j, and all Q_{ii}^k are nonnegative.

The variational inequality formulation of multicommodity spatial price equilibrium conditions (23) will have the same structure as the one governing the single commodity problem (cf. (7)), but now the vectors increase in dimension by a factor of J to accommodate all the commodities, that is, $\pi \in R^{Jm}$, $s \in R^{Jm}$, $\rho \in R^{Jn}$, $d \in R^{Jn}$, and $Q \in R^{Jmn}$. The feasible set K now contains (s, Q, d) such that (24) and (25) are satisfied. Note that the feasible set K can be expressed as a Cartesian product of subsets, where each subset corresponds to the constraints of the commodity.

- 同下 - 日下 - 日下 - -

Optimization Reformulation in the Symmetric Case

If the supply price functions (4), demand price functions (5), and the transaction cost functions (6) have symmetric Jacobians, and the supply price and transaction cost functions are monotonically nondecreasing, and the demand price functions are monotonically nonincreasing, then the spatial price equilibrium supplies, flows, and demands could be obtained by solving the convex optimization problem:

Minimize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{s_{i}} \pi_{i}(x) dx + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{Q_{ij}} c_{ij}(y) dy$$

 $-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{d_{j}} \rho_{j}(z) dz$ (26)

subject to constraints (2) and (3) where $Q_{ij} \ge 0$, for all *i* and *j*.

Price Formulation

Now we consider spatial price equilibrium models in which the supply and demand functions are available and are functions, respectively, of the supply and demand prices.

First consider the bipartite model. Assume, that there are m supply markets and n demand markets involved in the production/consumption of a commodity.

Consider the situation where the supply at a supply market may depend upon the supply prices at every supply market, that is,

$$s = s(\pi), \tag{27}$$

where s is a known smooth function.

The demand at a demand market, in turn, may depend upon the demand prices associated with the commodity at every demand market, i.e.,

$$d = d(\rho) \tag{28}$$

where d is a known smooth function.

The equilibrium conditions (1) remain, but since the prices are now to be computed, because they are no longer functions as previously, but, rather, variables, one may write the conditions as: For all pairs of markets (i, j): i = 1, ..., m; j = 1, ..., n:

$$\pi_i^* + c_{ij} \begin{cases} = \rho_j^*, & \text{if } Q_{ij}^* > 0\\ \ge \rho_j^*, & \text{if } Q_{ij}^* = 0, \end{cases}$$
(29)

to emphasize this point.

In view of the fact that one now has supply and demand functions, feasibility conditions (2) and (3) are now written as, in equilibrium:

$$s_{i}(\pi^{*}) \begin{cases} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij}^{*}, & \text{if } \pi_{i}^{*} > 0 \\ \ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij}^{*}, & \text{if } \pi_{i}^{*} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(30)

and

$$d_{j}(\rho^{*}) \begin{cases} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{ij}^{*}, & \text{if } \rho_{j}^{*} > 0 \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{ij}^{*}, & \text{if } \rho_{j}^{*} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(31)

同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

Variational Inequality of the Price Formulation

where S:

Theorem 4 (Variational Inequality Formulation of the Price Model)

The vector $x^* \equiv (\pi^*, Q^*, \rho^*) \in R^m_+ \times R^m_+ \times R^n_+$ is an equilibrium price and shipment vector satisfying (29) - (31) if and only if it satisfies the variational inequality

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in R^m_+ \times R^{mn}_+ \times R^n_+$$
 (32)

where $F : R^{mn+m+n}_{\perp} \mapsto R^{mn+m+n}$ is the function defined by the column vector

$$F(x) = (S(x), D(x), T(x))$$
where $S : R_{+}^{mn+m+n} \mapsto R^{m}$, $T : R_{+}^{mn+m+n} \mapsto R^{mn}$, and
$$D : R_{+}^{mn+m+n} \mapsto R^{n}$$
 are defined by:
$$(33)$$

$$S_{i} = s_{i}(\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij} T_{ij} = \pi_{i} + c_{ij}(Q) - \rho_{j}, D_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{ij} - d_{j}(\rho).$$
(34)

Variational Inequality of the Price Formulation

Proof: Assume that $x^* = (\pi^*, Q^*, \rho^*)$ satisfies (29) – (31). We will show, first, that x^* must satisfy variational inequality (32). Note that (29) implies that

$$(\pi_i^* + c_{ij}(Q^*) - \rho_j^*) \times (Q_{ij} - Q_{ij}^*) \ge 0,$$
(35)

(30) implies that

$$(s_i(\pi^*) - \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}^*) \times (\pi_i - \pi_i^*) \ge 0,$$
 (36)

and (31) implies that

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{ij}^* - d_j(\rho^*)) \times (\rho_j - \rho_j^*) \ge 0.$$
(37)

ヨト イヨト イヨト

Summing now (35) over all i, j, (36) over all i, and (37) over all j, one obtains

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[s_i(\pi^*) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij}^* \right] \times [\pi_i - \pi_i^*] + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\pi_i^* + c_{ij}(Q^*) - \rho_j^* \right] \\ \times \left[Q_{ij} - Q_{ij}^* \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{ij}^* - d_j(\rho^*) \right] \times \left[\rho_j - \rho_j^* \right] \ge 0, \quad (38)$$

which is variational inequality (32).

向下 イヨト イヨト

Variational Inequality of the Price Formulation

Now the converse is established. Assume that $x^* = (\pi^*, Q^*, \rho^*)$ satisfies (32). We will show that it also satisfies conditions (29)-(31). Indeed, fix market pair kl, and set $\pi = \pi^*$, $\rho = \rho^*$, and $Q_{ij} = Q_{ij}^*$, for all $ij \neq kl$. Then variational inequality (32) reduces to:

$$(\pi_k^* + c_{kl}(Q^*) - \rho_l^*) \times (Q_{kl} - Q_{kl}^*) \ge 0,$$
(39)

which implies that (29) must hold.

Now construct another feasible x as follows. Let $Q_{ij} = Q_{ij}^*$, for all $i, j, \rho_j = \rho_j^*$, for all j, and let $\pi_i = \pi_i^*$ for all $i \neq k$. Then (32) reduces to

$$(s_k(\pi^*) - \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{kj}^*) \times (\pi_k - \pi_k^*) \ge 0,$$
 (40)

from which (30) follows.

向下 イヨト イヨト 二日

A similar construction on the demand price side yields

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{il}^* - d_l(\rho^*)) \times (\rho_l - \rho_l^*) \ge 0,$$
(41)

from which one can conclude (31). The proof is complete. \Box

We emphasize that, unlike the quantity model, the Jacobian matrix $\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\right]$ for the price model can never be symmetric, and, hence, (29) – (31) can never be cast into an equivalent convex minimization problem.

Recall that, strict monotonicity will guarantee uniqueness, provided that a solution exists. An existence condition is now presented that is weaker than coercivity or strong monotonicity.

同下 イヨト イヨト

Theorem 5

Assume that s, d, and c are continuous functions. Variational inequality (32) has a solution if and only if there exist positive constants r_1 , r_2 , and r_3 , such that the variational inequality

$$\langle F(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in K_r$$
 (42)

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{r} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \pi \\ Q \\ \rho \end{bmatrix} \in R^{mn+m+n} | \pi \leq r_{1}, Q \leq r_{2}, \rho \leq r_{3} \right\}$$
(43)

has a solution $\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\pi} \\ \bar{Q} \\ \bar{\rho} \end{bmatrix}$ with the property: $\bar{\pi} < r_1$, $\bar{Q} < r_2$, $\bar{\rho} < r_3$, componentwise. Furthermore, such an \bar{x} is a solution to variational inequality (32). Under the following conditions it is possible to construct r_1 , r_2 , and r_3 large enough so that the solution to the restricted variational inequality (43) will satisfy the boundedness condition with r_1 , r_2 , and r_3 , and, thus, existence of an equilibrium will follow.

Theorem 6 (Existence) If there exist μ , M, and N > 0, $\mu < N$, such that

$$s_i(\pi) > nM$$
 for any π with $\pi_i \ge N$, $\forall i$,
 $c_{ij}(Q) > \mu \quad \forall i, j, Q$,
 $d_j(\rho) < M$, for any ρ with $\rho_j \ge \mu$, and $\forall i$,

then there exists an equilibrium point.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Consider the network model governed by variational inequality (7) and subject to changes in the supply price functions, demand price functions, and transaction cost functions. In particular, change the supply price functions from $\pi(\cdot)$ to $\pi^*(\cdot)$, the demand price functions from $\rho(\cdot)$ to $\rho^*(\cdot)$, and the transaction cost functions from $c(\cdot)$ to $c^*(\cdot)$; what can be said about the corresponding equilibrium patterns (s, Q, d) and (s^*, Q^*, d^*) ?

同下 イヨト イヨト

The following strong monotonicity condition is imposed on $\pi(\cdot)$, $c(\cdot)$, and $\rho(\cdot)$:

$$\langle \pi(s^1) - \pi(s^2), s^1 - s^2
angle + \langle c(Q^1) - c(Q^2), Q^1 - Q^2
angle$$

$$-\langle \rho(d^{1}) - \rho(d^{2}), d^{1} - d^{2} \rangle \ge \alpha (\|s^{1} - s^{2}\|^{2} + \|Q^{1} - Q^{2}\|^{2} + \|d^{1} - d^{2}\|^{2}),$$
(44)

for all $(s^1, Q^1, d^1), (s^2, Q^2, d^2) \in K$, where K was defined for this model earlier, and α is a positive constant.

・ 同下 ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

A sufficient condition for (44) to hold is that for all $(s^1, Q^1, d^1) \in K$, $(s^2, Q^2, d^2) \in K$,

$$\langle \pi(s^{1}) - \pi(s^{2}), s^{1} - s^{2} \rangle \geq \beta \|s^{1} - s^{2}\|^{2}$$

$$\langle c(Q^{1}) - c(Q^{2}), Q^{1} - Q^{2} \rangle \geq \gamma \|Q^{1} - Q^{2}\|^{2}$$

$$- \langle \rho(d^{1}) - \rho(d^{2}), d^{1} - d^{2} \rangle \geq \delta \|d^{1} - d^{2}\|^{2},$$
 (45)

where $\beta > 0, \gamma > 0$, and $\delta > 0$.

The following theorem establishes that small changes in the supply price, demand price, and transaction cost functions induce small changes in the supplies, demands, and commodity shipment pattern.

・吊下 ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Theorem 7

Let α be the positive constant in the definition of strong monotonicity. Then

$$\|((s^*-s),(Q^*-Q),(d^*-d))\| \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \|((\pi^*(s^*)-\pi(s^*)),(c^*(Q^*)-c(Q^*)),-(\rho^*(d^*)-\rho(d^*)))\|.$$
(46)

(1日) (日) (日)

Ξ

Proof: The vectors (s, Q, d), (s^*, Q^*, d^*) must satisfy, respectively, the variational inequalities

$$\langle \pi(s), s'-s \rangle + \langle c(Q), Q'-Q \rangle - \langle \rho(d), d'-d \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall (s', Q', d') \in K$$

$$(47)$$

and

$$\langle \pi^*(s^*), s' - s^* \rangle + \langle c^*(Q^*), Q' - Q^* \rangle - \langle \rho^*(d^*), d' - d^* \rangle \ge 0,$$

$$\forall (s', Q', d') \in K.$$
 (48)

Sensitivity Analysis

Writing (47) for $s' = s^*$, $Q' = Q^*$, $d' = d^*$, and (48) for s' = s, Q' = Q, d' = d, and adding the two resulting inequalities, one obtains

$$\langle \pi^*(s^*) - \pi(s), s - s^* \rangle + \langle c^*(Q^*) - c(Q), Q - Q^* \rangle$$

 $- \langle \rho^*(d^*) - \rho(d), d - d^* \rangle \ge 0$ (49)

or

$$\langle \pi^{*}(s^{*}) - \pi(s^{*}) + \pi(s^{*}) - \pi(s), s - s^{*} \rangle$$

+ $\langle c^{*}(Q^{*}) - c(Q^{*}) + c(Q^{*}) - c(Q), Q - Q^{*} \rangle$
- $\langle \rho^{*}(d^{*}) - \rho(d^{*}) + \rho(d^{*}) - \rho(d), d - d^{*} \rangle \geq 0.$ (50)

イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

Sensitivity Analysis

Using now the monotonicity condition (44), (50) yields

$$\langle \pi^{*}(s^{*}) - \pi(s^{*}), s - s^{*} \rangle + \langle c^{*}(Q^{*}) - c(Q^{*}), Q - Q^{*} \rangle - \langle \rho^{*}(d^{*}) - \rho(d^{*}), d - d^{*} \rangle \geq \langle \pi(s^{*}) - \pi(s), s^{*} - s \rangle + \langle c(Q^{*}) - c(Q), Q^{*} - Q \rangle - \langle \rho(d^{*}) - \rho(d), d^{*} - d \rangle \geq \alpha (\|s^{*} - s\|^{2} + \|Q^{*} - Q\|^{2} + \|d^{*} - d\|^{2}).$$
(51)

Applying the Schwarz inequality to the left-hand side of (51) yields

$$\|((\pi^*(s^*) - \pi(s^*)), (c^*(Q^*) - c(Q^*)), -(\rho^*(d^*) - \rho(d^*)))\|$$
$$\|((s-s^*), (Q-Q^*), (d-d^*))\| \ge \alpha \|((s-s^*), (Q-Q^*), (d-d^*))\|^2$$
(52)

from which (46) follows, and the proof is complete \Box

The problem of how changes in the supply price, demand price, and transaction cost functions affect the direction of the change in the equilibrium supply, demand, and shipment pattern, and the incurred supply prices, demand prices, and transaction costs is now addressed.

Theorem 8

Consider the spatial price equilibrium problem with two supply price functions $\pi(\cdot)$, $\pi^*(\cdot)$, two demand price functions $\rho(\cdot)$, $\rho^*(\cdot)$, and two transaction cost functions $c(\cdot)$, $c^*(\cdot)$. Let (s, Q, d) and (s^*, Q^*, d^*) be the corresponding equilibrium supply, shipment, and demand patterns.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Sensitivity Analysis

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\pi_i^*(s^*) - \pi_i(s)\right] \times [s_i^* - s_i] + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[c_{ij}^*(Q^*) - c_{ij}(Q)\right]$$

$$\times \left[Q_{ij}^* - Q_{ij}\right] - \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\rho_j^*(d^*) - \rho_j(d)\right] \times \left[d_j^* - d_j\right] \le 0 \qquad (53)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\pi_i^*(s^*) - \pi_i(s^*)\right] \times \left[s_i^* - s_i\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[c_{ij}^*(Q^*) - c_{ij}(Q^*)\right]$$

$$\times \left[\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^* - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}\right] - \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\rho_j^*(d^*) - \rho_j(d^*)\right] \times \left[d_j^* - d_j\right] \le 0.$$
 (54)

Proof: The above inequalities have been established in the course of proving the preceding theorem.

The following corollary establishes the direction of a change of the equilibrium supply at a particular supply market and the incurred supply price, subject to a specific change in the network.

Sensitivity Analysis

Corollary 1

Assume that the supply price at supply market i is increased (decreased), while all other supply price functions remain fixed, that is, $\pi_i^*(s') \ge \pi_i(s')$, $(\pi_i^*(s') \le \pi_i(s'))$ for some *i*, and $s' \in K$, and $\pi_i^*(s') = \pi_i(s')$ for all $j \neq i$, $s' \in K$. Assume also that $\frac{\partial \pi_j(s')}{\partial s} = 0$, for all $j \neq i$. If we fix the demand functions for all markets, that is, $\rho_i^*(d') = \rho_i(d')$, for all j, and $d' \in K$, and the transaction cost functions, that is, $c_{ii}^*(Q') = c_{ij}(Q')$, for all i, j, and $Q' \in K$, then the supply at supply market i cannot increase (decrease) and the incurred supply price cannot decrease (increase), i.e., $s_i^* \leq s_i$ ($s_i^* \geq s_i$), and $\pi_i^*(s^*) \geq \pi_i(s)$ $(\pi_i(s^*) < \pi_i(s)).$

One can also obtain similar corollaries for changes in the demand price functions at a fixed demand market, and changes in the transaction cost functions, respectively, under analogous conditions.

Now policy interventions are incorporated directly into both quantity and price formulations of spatial price equilibrium models within the variational inequality framework. First, a quantity model with price controls is presented, and then a price model with both price controls and trade restrictions.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Policy Interventions

The notation for the bipartite network model is retained, but now, introduce u_i to denote the nonnegative possible excess supply at supply market *i* and v_j the nonnegative possible excess demand at demand market *j*. Group then the excess supplies into a column vector *u* in \mathbb{R}^m and the excess demands into a column vector *v* in \mathbb{R}^n .

The following equations must now hold:

$$s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij} + u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$
 (55)

and

$$d_j = \sum_{i=1}^m Q_{ij} + v_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (56)

Let
$$K^1 = \{(s, d, Q, u, v) | Q \ge 0, u \ge 0, v \ge 0, and (55), (56) hold\}$$

Assume that there is a fixed minimum supply price $\underline{\pi}_i$ for each supply market *i* and a fixed maximum demand price $\overline{\rho}_j$ at each demand market *j*. Thus $\underline{\pi}_i$ represents the price floor imposed upon the producers at supply market *i*, whereas $\overline{\rho}_j$ represents the price ceiling imposed at the demand market *j*. Group the supply price floors into a column vector $\underline{\pi}$ in R^m and the demand price ceilings into a column vector $\overline{\rho}$ in R^n . Also, define the vector $\tilde{\pi}$ in R^{mn} consisting of *m* vectors, where the *i*-th vector, $\{\tilde{\pi}_i\}$, consists of *n* components $\{\pi_i\}$. Similarly, define the vector $\tilde{\rho}$ in R^{mn} consisting of *m* vectors $\{\tilde{\rho}_i\}$ in R^n with components $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n\}$.

The economic market conditions for the above model, assuming perfect competition, take the following form: For all pairs of supply and demand markets (i, j); i = 1, ..., m; j = 1, ..., n:

$$\pi_{i} + c_{ij} \begin{cases} = \rho_{j}, & \text{if } Q_{ij}^{*} > 0\\ \ge \rho_{j}, & \text{if } Q_{ij}^{*} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(57)
$$\pi_{i} \begin{cases} = \pi_{i}, & \text{if } u_{i}^{*} > 0\\ \ge \pi_{i}, & \text{if } u_{i}^{*} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(58)
$$\rho_{j} \begin{cases} = \bar{\rho}_{j}, & \text{if } v_{j}^{*} > 0\\ \le \bar{\rho}_{j}, & \text{if } v_{j}^{*} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(59)

同下 イヨト イヨト ニヨ

An Example

Example 2

The supply price functions are:

$$\pi_1(s) = 2s_1 + s_2 + 5, \quad \pi_2(s) = s_2 + 10.$$

The transportation cost functions are:

$$c_{11}(Q) = 5Q_{11} + Q_{21} + 9, \quad c_{21}(Q) = 3Q_{21} + 2Q_{11} + 19.$$

The demand price function is:

$$\rho_1(d)=-d_1+80.$$

The supply price floors are:

$$\underline{\pi}_1 = 21 \quad \underline{\pi}_2 = 16.$$

The demand price ceiling is:

$$\bar{\rho}_1 = 60.$$

ヨト イヨト イヨト

The production, shipment, consumption, and excess supply and demand pattern satisfying conditions (57)-(59) is:

$$s_1^* = 5, \quad s_2^* = 6, \quad Q_{11}^* = 5, \quad Q_{21}^* = 5, \quad d_1^* = 20,$$

 $u_1^* = 0, \quad u_2^* = 1, \quad v_1^* = 10,$

with induced supply prices, transaction costs, and demand prices:

$$\pi_1 = 21, \quad \pi_2 = 16, \quad c_{11} = 39 \quad c_{21} = 44, \quad \rho_1 = 60.$$

・吊下 ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Define now the vectors $\hat{\pi} = \pi \in R^m$, and $\hat{\rho} = \rho \in R^n$. In view of conditions (55) and (56), one can express $\hat{\pi}$ and $\hat{\rho}$ in the following manner:

$$\hat{\pi} = \hat{\pi}(Q, u)$$
 and $\hat{
ho} = \hat{
ho}(Q, v).$ (60)

Also define the vector $\hat{\pi} \in R^{mn}$ consisting of m vectors, where the *i*-th vector, $\{\tilde{\pi}\}$, consists of n components $\{\hat{\pi}_i\}$ and the vector $\tilde{\rho} \in R^{mn}$ consisting of m vectors $\{\tilde{\rho}_j\} \in R^n$ with components $\{\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2, \dots, \hat{\rho}_n\}$.

The above system (57), (58), and (59) can be formulated as a variational inequality problem, as follows.

Theorem 11 (Variational Inequality Formulation of the Quantity Model with Price Floors and Ceilings) A pattern of total supplies, total demands, and commodity shipments, and excess supplies and excess demands $(s^*, d^*, Q^*, u^*, v^*) \in K^1$ satisfies inequalities (57), (58), and (59) governing the disequilibrium market problem if and only if it satisfies the variational inequality

$$\langle \pi(s^*), s - s^* \rangle - \langle \underline{\pi}, u - u^* \rangle + \langle c(Q^*), Q - Q^* \rangle$$

 $-\langle \rho(d^*), d - d^* \rangle + \langle \overline{\rho}, v - v^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall (s, d, Q, u, v) \in \mathcal{K}^1$ (61)

・ 「 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ 一 目 ト ・

or, equivalently, the variational inequality

$$\langle \tilde{\pi}(Q^*, u^*) + c(Q^*) - \tilde{\rho}(Q^*, v^*), Q - Q^* \rangle$$
$$+ \langle \hat{\pi}(Q^*, u^*) - \underline{\pi}, u - u^* \rangle + \langle \bar{\rho} - \hat{\rho}(Q^*, v^*), v - v^* \rangle \ge 0,$$
$$\forall (Q, u, v) \in \mathcal{K}^2 \equiv \mathcal{R}^{mn}_+ \times \mathcal{R}^m_+ \times \mathcal{R}^n_+.$$
(62)

물 제 문 제

Ξ
Proof: Assume that a vector $(s^*, d^*, Q^*, u^*, v^*) \in K^1$ satisfies (57), (58), and (59). Then for each pair (i, j), and any $Q_{ij} \ge 0$:

$$(\pi_i(s^*) + c_{ij}(Q^*) - \rho_j(d^*)) \times (Q_{ij} - Q_{ij}^*) \ge 0.$$
 (63)

Summing over all pairs (i, j), one has that

$$\langle \tilde{\pi}(s^*) + c(Q^*) - \tilde{\rho}(d^*), Q - Q^* \rangle \ge 0.$$
 (64)

向下 イヨト イヨト

Using similar arguments yields

 $\langle (\pi(s^*) - \underline{\pi}), u - u^* \rangle \ge 0$ and $\langle (\overline{\rho} - \rho(d^*)), v - v^* \rangle \ge 0.$ (65)

Summing then the inequalities (64) and (65), one obtains

$$\langle \tilde{\pi}(\boldsymbol{s}^*) + \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{Q}^*) - \tilde{\rho}(\boldsymbol{d}^*), \boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{Q}^* \rangle + \langle \pi(\boldsymbol{s}^*) - \underline{\pi}, \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}^* \rangle$$
$$+ \langle \bar{\rho} - \rho(\boldsymbol{d}^*), \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^* \rangle \ge 0,$$
(66)

which, after the incorporation of the feasibility constraints (55) and (56), yields (61).

Also, by definition of $\hat{\pi}$ and $\hat{\rho}$, one concludes that if $(Q^*, u^*, v^*) \in K^2$ satisfies (57), (58), and (59), then

$$\langle \tilde{\hat{\pi}}(Q^*, u^*) + c(Q^*) - \tilde{\hat{\rho}}(Q^*, v^*), Q - Q^* \rangle$$
$$+ \langle \hat{\pi}(Q^*, u^*) - \underline{\pi}, u - u^* \rangle + \langle (\bar{\rho} - \hat{\rho}(Q^*, v^*)), v - v^* \rangle \ge 0.$$
(67)

向下 イヨト イヨト

Assume now that variational inequality (61) holds. Let $u = u^*$ and $v = v^*$. Then

$$\langle \tilde{\pi}(s^*) + c(Q^*) - \tilde{\rho}(d^*), Q - Q^* \rangle \ge 0,$$
 (68)

which, in turn, implies that (57) holds. Similar arguments demonstrate that (58) and (59) also then hold.

By definition, the same inequalities can be established when utilizing the functions $\hat{\pi}(Q, u)$ and $\hat{\rho}(Q, v)$. \Box

向下 イヨト イヨト

Qualitative Properties

First, the existence conditions are given. Denote the row vector F(Q, u, v) by $F(Q, u, v) \equiv (\tilde{\pi}(Q, u) + c(Q) - \tilde{\rho}(Q, v), \hat{\pi}(Q, u) - \underline{\pi}, \bar{\rho} - \hat{\rho}(Q, v)).$ (69)

Theorem 12 (Existence Under Coercivity)

Assume that the function F(Q, u, v) is coercive, that is, there exists a point $(Q^0, u^0, v^0) \in K^2$, such that

$$\lim_{\|(Q,u,v)\|\to\infty} \frac{\langle F(Q,u,v) - F(Q^{0},u^{0},v^{0}), \begin{bmatrix} Q-Q^{0}\\ u-u^{0}\\ v-v^{0} \end{bmatrix} \rangle}{\|(Q-Q^{0},u-u^{0},v-v^{0})\|} = \infty,$$

$$\forall (Q,u,v) \in K^{2}.$$
(70)

Then variational inequality (62) admits at least one solution or, equivalently, a disequilibrium solution exists.

Qualitative Properties

One of the sufficient conditions ensuring (70) in Theorem 12 is that the function F(Q, u, v) is strongly monotone, that is, the following inequality holds:

$$\langle F(Q^1, u^1, v^1) - F(Q^2, u^2, v^2), \begin{bmatrix} Q^1 \\ u^1 \\ v^1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} Q^2 \\ u^2 \\ v^2 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$$

$$\geq \alpha \| \begin{bmatrix} Q^{1} - Q^{2} \\ u^{1} - u^{2} \\ v^{1} - v^{2} \end{bmatrix} \|^{2},$$
(71)
$$\forall (Q^{1}, u^{1}, v^{1}), (Q^{2}, u^{2}, v^{2}) \in K^{2},$$

where α is a positive constant.

Under condition (71) uniqueness of the solution pattern (Q, u, v) is guaranteed.

Through the subsequent lemmas, it is shown that strong monotonicity of F(Q, u, v) is equivalent to the strong monotonicity of the transaction cost c(Q), the supply price $\pi(s)$, and the demand price $\rho(d)$ functions, which is a commonly imposed condition in the study of the spatial price equilibrium problem.

Lemma 1

Let (Q, s, d) be a vector associated with $(Q, u, v) \in K^2$ via (55) and (56). There exist positive constants m_1 and m_2 such that:

$$\|(Q, u, v)^{T}\|_{R^{mn+m+n}}^{2} \leq m_{1}\|(Q, s, d)^{T}\|_{R^{mn+m+n}}^{2}$$
(72)

and

$$\|(Q,s,d)^{T}\|_{R^{mn+m+n}}^{2} \leq m_{2}\|(Q,u,v)^{T}\|_{R^{mn+m+n}}^{2}$$
(73)

where $\|.\|_{R^k}$ denotes the norm in the space R^k .

Lemma 2

F(Q, u, v) is a strongly monotone function of (Q, u, v) if and only if $\pi(s), c(Q)$, and $-\rho(d)$ are strongly monotone functions of s, Q, and d, respectively.

At this point, we state the following:

Proposition 1 (Existence and Uniqueness Under Strong Monotonicity)

Assume that $\pi(s), c(Q)$, and $-\rho(d)$ are strongly monotone functions of s, Q, and d, respectively. Then there exists precisely one disequilibrium point $(Q^*, u^*, v^*) \in K^2$.

マロト イヨト イヨト 一日

Lemma 3

F(Q, u, v) is strictly monotone if and only if $\pi(s), c(Q)$, and $-\rho(d)$ are strictly monotone functions of s, Q, and d, respectively.

It is now clear that the following statement is true:

Theorem 13 (Uniqueness Under Strict Monotonicity) Assume that $\pi(s), c(Q)$, and $-\rho(d)$ are strictly monotone in s, Q, and d, respectively. Then the disequilibrium solution $(Q^*, u^*, v^*) \in K^2$ is unique, if one exists.

By further observation, one can see that if $\pi(s)$ and $-\rho(d)$ are monotone, then the disequilibrium commodity shipment Q^* is unique, provided that c(Q) is a strictly monotone function of Q.

Existence and uniqueness of a disequilibrium solution (Q^*, u^*, v^*) , therefore, crucially depend on the strong (strict) monotonicity of the functions c(Q), $\pi(s)$, and $-\rho(d)$. If the Jacobian matrix of the transaction cost function c(Q) is positive-definite (strongly positive-definite), that is,

$$x^{T} \nabla c(Q) x > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}, \ Q \in K_{1}, \ x \neq 0$$
 (74)

$$x^T \nabla c(Q) x \ge \alpha \|x\|^2, \ \alpha > 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}, \ Q \in K_1,$$
 (75)

then the function c(Q) is strictly (strongly) monotone. Monotonicity of c(Q) is not economically unreasonable, since the transaction cost c_{ij} from supply market *i* to demand market *j* can be expected to depend mainly upon the shipment Q_{ij} which implies that the Jacobian matrix $\nabla c(Q)$ is diagonally dominant; hence, $\nabla c(Q)$ is positive definite.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Next, the economic meaning of monotonicity of the supply price function $\pi(s)$ and the demand price function $\rho(d)$ is explored.

Lemma 4

Suppose that $f : D \mapsto V$ is continuously differentiable on set D. Let $f^{-1} : V \mapsto D$ be the inverse function of f, where D and V are subsets of \mathbb{R}^k . $\nabla f(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in D$ if and only if $\nabla(f^{-1}(y))$ is positive definite for all $y \in V$.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Qualitative Properties

Proof: Since $\nabla f(x)$ is positive definite, we have that

$$w^{T}\nabla f(x)w > 0 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, x \in D, w \neq 0.$$
(76)

It is well-known that

$$\nabla(f^{-1}) = (\nabla f)^{-1}.$$
 (77)

(76) can be written as:

 $w^{T}(\nabla f)^{T}(\nabla f)^{-1}(\nabla f)w > 0, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, x \in D, w \neq 0.$ (78)

Letting $z = \nabla f \cdot w$ in (78) and using (77) yields

$$z^T \nabla (f^{-1}(y)) z > 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^k, z \neq 0, y \in V.$$
 (79)

Thus, $\nabla(f^{-1}(y))$ is positive definite. Observing that each step of the proof is convertible, one can easily prove the converse part of the lemma. \Box

Denote the inverse of the supply price function $\pi(s)$ by π^{-1} and the inverse of the demand price function $\rho(d)$ by ρ^{-1} . Then

$$s = \pi^{-1}(\pi), \qquad d = \rho^{-1}(\rho).$$
 (80)

By virtue of Lemma 4, $\pi(s)$ is a strictly (strongly) monotone function of *s*, provided that $\nabla_{\pi}s(\pi)$ is positive-definite (strongly positive-definite) for all $\pi \in R^m_+$. Similarly, $-\rho(d)$ is a strictly (strongly) monotone function of *d* provided that $-\nabla_{\rho}d(\rho)$ is positive-definite (strongly positive-definite) for all $\rho \in R^n_+$.

マロト イヨト イヨト 二日

In reality, the supply s_i is mainly affected by the supply price π_i , for each supply market i; i = 1, ..., m, and the demand d_j is mainly affected by the demand price ρ_j for each demand market j; j = 1, ..., n. Thus, in most cases, one can expect the matrices $\nabla_{\pi} s(\pi)$ and $-\nabla_{\rho} d(\rho)$ to be positive-definite (strongly positive-definite).

(周) (チョン・チョン・ヨ

Summary

We provided an overview of spatial price equilibrium models, describing both classical ones on bipartite networks, with and without optimization reformulations. We emphasized the need for both quantity models and price version models.

We also discussed spatial price equilibrium models on general networks.

Furthermore, policies, in the form of price floors and ceilings, were also captured.

Variational inequality formulations were analyzed qualitatively, as well.

There have been numerous algorithms applied to compute solutions to SPE problems, some of which can be found in the references that follow. The most effective ones exploit the underlying network structure.

(1日) (1日) (1日)

Э

Asmuth, R., Eaves, B. C., and Peterson, E. L., "Computing economic equilibria on affine networks," *Mathematics of Operations Research* **4** (1979) 209-214.

Cournot, A. A., **Researches into the Mathematical Principles of the Theory of Wealth**, 1838, English translation, MacMillan, London, England, 1897.

Dafermos, S., "An iterative scheme for variational inequalities," *Mathematical Programming* **26** (1983), 40-47.

Dafermos, S., and McKelvey, S. C., "A general market equilibrium problem and partitionable variational inequalities," LCDS # 89-4, Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, 1989.

Dafermos, S., and Nagurney, A., "Sensitivity analysis for the general spatial economic equilibrium problem," *Operations Research* **32** (1984) 1069-1086.

Dafermos, S., and Nagurney, A., "Isomorphism between spatial price and traffic network equilibrium models," LCDS # 85-17, Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, 1985.

Enke, S., "Equilibrium among spatially separated markets: solution by electronic analogue," *Econometrica* **10** (1951) 40-47.

Florian, M., and Los, M., "A new look at static spatial price equilibrium models," *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **12** (1982) 579-597.

Friesz, T. L., Harker, P. T., and Tobin, R. L., "Alternative algorithms for the general network spatial price equilibrium problem," *Journal of Regional Science* **24** (1984) 475-507. Friesz, T. L., Tobin, R. L., Smith, T. E., and Harker, P. T., "A nonlinear complementarity formulation and solution procedure for the general derived demand network equilibrium problem," *Journal of Regional Science* **23** (1983) 337-359.

Glassey, C. R., "A quadratic network optimization model for equilibrium single commodity trade flows," *Mathematical Programming* **14** (1978) 98-107.

Guder, F., Morris, J. G., and Yoon, S. H., "Parallel and serial successive overrelaxation for multicommodity spatial price equilibrium problems," *Transportation Science* **26** (1992) 48-58.

Jones, P. C., Saigal, R., and Schneider, M. C., "Computing nonlinear network equilibria," *Mathematical Programming* **31** (1984) 57-66.

Judge, G. G., and Takayama, T., editors, **Studies in Economic Planning Over Space and Time**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1973.

Marcotte, P., Marquis, G., and Zubieta, L., "A Newton-SOR method for spatial price equilibrium," *Transportation Science* **26** (1992) 36-47.

McKelvey, S. C., "Partitionable variational inequalities and an application to market equilibrium problems," Ph. D. Thesis, Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, 1989.

Nagurney, A., "Computational comparisons of spatial price equilibrium methods," *Journal of Regional Science* **27** (1987a) 55-76.

Nagurney, A., "Competitive equilibrium problems, variational inequalities, and regional science," *Journal of Regional Science* **27** (1987b) 503-517.

Nagurney, A., "The formulation and solution of large-scale multicommodity equilibrium problems over space and time," *European Journal of Operational Research* **42** (1989) 166-177.

▲撮♪ ★注♪ ★注♪

Nagurney, A., "The application of variational inequality theory to the study of spatial equilibrium and disequilibrium," in **Readings in Econometric Theory and Practice: A Volume in Honor of George Judge**, pp. 327-355, W. E. Griffiths, H. Lutkepohl, and M. E. Bock, editors, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1992.

Nagurney, A., **Supply Chain Network Economics: Dynamics of Prices, Flows, and Profits,** Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, England.

Nagurney, A., and Aronson, J. E., "A general dynamic spatial price equilibrium model: formulation, solution, and computational results," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **22** (1988) 339-357.

Nagurney, A., and Aronson, J. E., "A general dynamic spatial price network equilibrium model with gains and losses," *Networks* **19** (1989) 751-769.

Nagurney, A., and Nagurney, L.S., "Spatial price equilibrium and food webs: The economics of predator-prey networks," *Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE International Conference on Supernetworks and System Management*, F. Y. Xu and J. Dong, Editors, IEEE Press, Beijing, China, pp 1-6, 2011.

Nagurney, A., Nicholson, C. F., and Bishop, P. M., "Massively parallel computation of large-scale spatial price equilibrium models with discriminatory ad valorem tariffs," *Annals of Operations Research* **68** (1996) 281-300.

Nagurney, A., Takayama, T., and Zhang, D., "Massively parallel computation of spatial price equilibrium problems as dynamical systems," *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **18** (1995) 3-37.

Nagurney, A., Thore, S., and Pan, J., "Spatial market models with goal targets," *Operations Research* **44** (1996) 393-406.

<同→ <注→ <注→ = □

Nagurney, A., and Zhang, D., "On the stability of spatial price equilibria modeled as a projected dynamical system," *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **20** (1996b) 43-63.

Nagurney, A., and Zhao, L., "Disequilibrium and variational inequalities," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **33** (1990) 181-198.

Nagurney, A., and Zhao, L., "A network equilibrium formulation of market disequilibrium and variational inequalities," *Networks* **21** (1991) 109-132.

Nagurney, A., and Zhao, L., "Networks and variational inequalities in the formulation and computation of market disequilibria: the case of direct demand functions," *Transportation Science* **27** (1993) 4-15.

Pang, J. S., "Solution of the general multicommodity spatial equilibrium problem by variational and complementarity methods," *Journal of Regional Science* **24** (1984) 403-414.

Samuelson P. A., "Spatial price equilibrium and linear programming," *American Economic Review* **42** (1952) 283-303.

Samuelson, P. A., "Intertemporal price equilibrium: a proloque to the theory of speculation," *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* **79** (1957) 181-219.

Takayama, T., and Judge, G. G., "An intertemporal price equilibrium model," *Journal of Farm Economics* **46** (1964) 477-484.

Takayama, T., and Judge, G. G., **Spatial and Temporal Price** and **Allocation Models**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1971.

Thore, S., "Spatial disequilibrium," *Journal of Regional Science* **26** (1986) 661-675.

Thore, S., **Economic Logistics**, *The IC*² *Management and Management Science Series* **3**, Quorum Books, New York, 1991. Thore, S., Nagurney, A., and Pan, J., "Generalized goal programming and variational inequalities," *Operations Research Letters* **12** (1992) 217-226.

- 同下 - 日下 - 日下 - -